arXiv:1607.08766v1 [math.PR] 29 Jul 2016

Hole probabilities for determinantal point processes in the complex plane

A Dissertation

submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements

for the award of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

by

Kartick Adhikari



Department of Mathematics

Indian Institute of Science

Bangalore - 560012

July 2016

To

My Parents

and

Teachers

Declaration

I hereby declare that the work reported in this thesis is entirely original and has been carried out by me under the supervision of Prof. Manjunath Krishnapur at the Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore. I further declare that this work has not been the basis for the award of any degree, diploma, fellowship, associateship or similar title of any University or Institution.

Kartick Adhikari
S. R. No. 9310-310-091-06665
Indian Institute of Science
Bangalore
July, 2016

Prof. Manjunath Krishnapur
(Research advisor)

Acknowledgement

I take this opportunity to thank my PhD supervisor, Prof. Manjunath Krishnapur for his continuous support, enthusiasm and motivations all throughout my stay at IISc. I am thankful to him for introducing me to the area of random analytic functions and random matrix theory and for agreeing to supervise my thesis. My discussions with him throughout has been very positive and he has always encouraged me to try out new ideas. He not only provided me with excellent academic suggestions but also helped me out with other non-academic issues as well. Many thanks to him for providing me with opportunities to visit some of the best conferences/workshops in my area. In general, thesis writing may be quite demanding. Thanks to him for going through the thesis draft meticulously and helping me to improve the organization of the thesis.

Many people were indirectly involved with my PhD thesis. Specifically, I thank Prof. Srikanth K. Iyer and Prof. Mrinal K. Ghosh for introducing me to the beautiful world of probability and stochastic processes. I am also thankful to the faculty members of the Dept. of Mathematics at IISc for offering a wide variety of courses. I really benefited a lot from these courses. I thank Prof. Arup Bose for indicating the problem that we are considering in Chapter 5.

I had many fruitful discussions with colleagues at IISc. My special thanks to Nanda, Tulasi, Koushik da, Subhamay Da, Tamal Da, Indrajit, Ramiz, Vikram, Arpan, Samya, Chandan, Choiti, Eliza. I am also thankful to the Maths Dept. staff at IISc, Ms. Mahalakshmi, Ms. Bharathi, Mr. Narendranath, Parmesh and Parthivan. They helped me in carrying out smoothly, all the complex administrative formalities during my stay at IISc. Many thanks to our system administrator, Ujey, Surendar and librarian, Shanmugavel for their quick and prompt actions whenever I needed help.

I thank to my colleagues at IISc for all the fun and support, that made my campus life a second home. In this regard, I thank Jaikrishanan, Divakaran, Rajeev, Pranav, Bidyut Da, Dinesh Da, Abhijit Da, Sayan Da, Santanu Da, Prahllad Da, Sudipto Da, Sayani Di, Ratna Di, Soma Di, Atreyee Di and Joyti Di for their love and support in my long journey. Among the juniors I thank Bidhan, Hari, Amar, Soumitra, Samarpita, Anway, Samrat, Sanjay, Monojit, Somnath Hazra, Somnath Pradhan, Subhajit, Manish and Rakesh. I thank them for all the great moments that we had here together.

My special thanks to Debanjan Da, Prasenjit Da, Satadal Da, Nirupam Da, Abirami, Soumyadeep Da, Anirban Da and Mathew for their enjoyable company.

I take this opportunity to express my gratitude to all my teachers. In particular, I thank to Mrinal Babu, Indra Babu, Tapas babu, Mahadev Babu for introducing me in mathematics and helping me a lot in difficult times.

I would thank my parents and family members. I am fortunate to have such great family members. Their blessings and prayer have helped me to overcome the difficult days of my graduate life. I thank them for all their love, patience and for always being there when I needed them. My Special thanks to my brothers for helping me to concentrate fully on my study without getting bogged down a midst other non-academic responsibilities.

The work was done in Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, and I thank to my Institute and CSIR for providing me the scholarship and other facilities.

Abstract

We study the hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ ($\alpha > 0$), a determinantal point process in the complex plane with the kernel $\mathbb{K}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(z,w) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} E_{\frac{2}{\alpha},\frac{2}{\alpha}}(z\overline{w}) e^{-\frac{|z|^\alpha}{2} - \frac{|w|^\alpha}{2}}$ with respect to Lebesgue measure on the complex plane, where $E_{a,b}(z)$ denotes the Mittag-Leffler function. Let U be an open subset of $D(0, (\frac{2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})$ and $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU)$ denote the number of points of $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ that fall in rU. Then, under some conditions on U, we show that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}} \log \mathbb{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU) = 0] = R_\emptyset^{(\alpha)} - R_U^{(\alpha)},$$

where $\emptyset$ is the empty set and

$$R_U^{(\alpha)} := \inf_{\mu \in \mathscr{P}(U^c)} \left\{ \iint \log \frac{1}{|z-w|} d\mu(z) d\mu(w) + \int |z|^\alpha d\mu(z) \right\},$$

$\mathscr{P}(U^c)$ is the space of all compactly supported probability measures with support in U^c. Using potential theory, we give an explicit formula for $R_U^{(\alpha)}$, the minimum possible energy of a probability measure compactly supported on U^c under logarithmic potential with an external field $\frac{|z|^\alpha}{2}$. In particular, $\alpha = 2$ gives the hole probabilities for the infinite ginibre ensemble. Moreover, we calculate $R_U^{(2)}$ explicitly for some special sets like annulus, cardioid, ellipse, equilateral triangle and half disk.

Contents

i

Declaration iii

Acknowledgement v

Abstract vii

Notation xi

1 Introduction 1

1.1 Basic notions and definitions 1

1.1.1 Point processes, joint intensities 1

1.1.2 Determinantal point processes 2

1.1.3 Examples of determinantal point processes 3

1.2 A brief survey of hole probabilities 5

1.3 Description of problems and proof techniques 6

1.4 Plan of the thesis 9

2 Equilibrium measures 11

2.1 Preliminaries 11

2.2 Equilibrium measure in general settings 14

2.2.1 Examples of balayage measures 19

2.3 Equilibrium measure with quadratic external fields 21

2.3.1 Table of examples 22

2.3.2 Computations for examples 23

3 Determinantal point processes with finitely many points **31**

3.1 Distribution of the absolute values of points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ 31

3.2 Scaling limit 33

3.3 Hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}$ 35

3.3.1 Upper bounds 35

3.3.2 Lower bounds 36

3.4 Hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ 44

4 Determinantal point processes with infinitely many points **47**

4.1 Hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ in circular domains 47

4.2 Hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ in general domains 54

5 Hole probabilities for finite β-ensembles in the complex plane **59**

6 Fluctuations **63**

Bibliography **73**

Notation

$\mathbb{C}$	The set of complex numbers
$\mathbb{D}$	Unit disk in the complex plane with center at origin
m	Lebesgue measure on the complex plane
U	Open set in the complex plane
rU	$\{r.z : z \in U\}$
U^c	$\mathbb{C}\backslash U$, complement of the set U
$\mathscr{P}(E)$	The set of compactly supported probability measures with support in E
$p_\mu(z)$	$\int \log \frac{1}{\lvert z-w\rvert} d\mu(w)$, the potential of μ at z
$R_\mu^{(g)}$	$\iint \log \frac{1}{\lvert z-w\rvert} d\mu(z)d\mu(w) + \int g(\lvert z\rvert)d\mu(z)$,
$R_U^{(g)}$	$\inf\{R_\mu^{(g)} : \mu \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{C}\backslash U)\}$,
$R_\mu^{(\alpha)}$	$\iint \log \frac{1}{\lvert z-w\rvert} d\mu(z)d\mu(w) + \int \lvert z\rvert^\alpha d\mu(z)$,
$R_U^{(\alpha)}$	$\inf\{R_\mu^{(\alpha)} : \mu \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{C}\backslash U)\}$,
$\mathscr{X}$	A point process on the complex plane
$\mathscr{X}(\Omega)$	The number of points of $\mathscr{X}$ that fall in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$
$\mathbb{V}(\mathscr{X}(\Omega))$	The variance of $\mathscr{X}(\Omega)$
T	the solution of $tg'(t) = 2$
$D(0,T)$	Disk of radius T center at origin

1 Introduction

Determinantal point process was introduced by Macchi [Mac75] to describe the statistical distribution of a fermion system in thermal equilibrium. This process is also known as fermion random point process. The probability distribution of the determinantal point process is characterized by a determinant of a matrix built from a kernel. Moreover, the probability density vanishes whenever two points are equal which implies that the points tend to repel each other. The determinantal point processes arise in quantum physics, combinatorics and random matrix theory. Recently [KT12], it has found applications in machine learning also.

Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a point process (see [DVJ08], p. 7) in the complex plane and let U be an open set in $\mathbb{C}$. The probability that U contains no points of $\mathscr{X}$ is called *hole*/*gap probability* for U. Hole probabilities for various point processes have been studied extensively, e.g., see [ST05], [Nis10], [Nis11], [Nis12], [BNPS16] and [AS13]. We calculate the asymptotics of hole probabilities for certain determinantal point processes.

1.1 Basic notions and definitions

In this section we define point processes, correlation functions and determinantal point processes in the complex plane. We give examples of determinantal point processes that we are considering in this thesis.

1.1.1 Point processes, joint intensities

A **point process** $\mathscr{X}$ in the complex plane is a random integer valued positive Radon measure on the complex plane. (Recall that a Radon measure is a Borel measure which is finite on compact sets). The point process $\mathscr{X}$ is said to be **simple** if $\mathscr{X}$ almost

surely assigns at most measure 1 to singletons. Roughly speaking, the random set of points configuration in the complex plane is called a point process in the complex plane. The number of points of $\mathscr{X}$ that fall in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is denoted by $\mathscr{X}(\Omega)$.

Let μ be a Radon measure on $\mathbb{C}$. If there exist functions $\rho_k : \mathbb{C}^k \to [0,\infty)$ for $k \geq 1$, such that for any family of mutually disjoint subjects $D_1, \ldots, D_k$ of $\mathbb{C}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathscr{X}(D_i)\right] = \int_{\prod_i D_i} \rho_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k) d\mu(x_1) \ldots d\mu(x_k),$$

then $\rho_k,\ k \geq 1$ are called **correlation functions** or **joint intensities** of a point process $\mathscr{X}$ with respect to μ. In addition, we shall require that $\rho_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ vanish if $x_i = x_j$ for some $i \neq j$.

In most cases, the point process is described by its correlation functions. If the distribution of $\mathscr{X}(D_1), \mathscr{X}(D_2), \ldots, \mathscr{X}(D_k)$ is determined by its moments, then the correlation functions determine the law of $\mathscr{X}$ (for details, see [HKPV09], Remark 1.2.4). The determinantal point process is determined by its correlation functions.

1.1.2 Determinantal point processes

Let $\mathbb{K}(x, y) : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function. A point process $\mathscr{X}$ in the complex plane is said to be a **determinantal point process** with kernel $\mathbb{K}$ if it is simple and its joint intensities with respect to the measure μ satisfy

$$\rho_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \det(\mathbb{K}(x_i, x_j))_{1 \leq i,j \leq k},$$

for every $k \geq 1$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \mathbb{C}$. For a detailed discussion on determinantal point processes we refer the reader to see [Sos00], [AGZ10], [HKPV09] and [Lyo03].

We skip the issue of existence and uniqueness of the determininatal point processes. We refer the reader to see Section 4.5 and Lemma 4.2.6 in [HKPV09] for existence and uniqueness respectively. The following fact says that if $\mathbb{K}$ is a finite dimensional projection kernel, then a determinantal process does exist.

Fact 1.1. Let μ be a Radon measure on $\mathbb{C}$. Suppose $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is an orthonormal set in $L^2(\mathbb{C}, \mu)$. Then there exists a determinantal point process, having n points, with the kernel

$$\mathbb{K}_n(z, w) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_k(z)\overline{\varphi_k(w)},$$

with respect to background measure μ.

For the proof of Fact 1.1 see [HKPV09], pp. 65-66. This fact is also true for $n = \infty$, see Section 4.5 of [HKPV09]. Using Fact 1.1 we give few examples determinantal point processes.

1.1.3 Examples of determinantal point processes

In this section we give few examples of determinantal point processes that we are considering in this thesis.

1. **n-th Ginibre ensemble:** Let G_n be a $n \times n$ matrix with i.i.d. complex Gaussian entries. Then the eigenvalues of G_n form a determinantal point process in the complex plane with kernel

$$\mathbb{K}_n^{(2)}(z,w) = \frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{(z\overline{w})^k}{k!}e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2-\frac{1}{2}|w|^2},$$

with respect to Lebesgue measure on the complex plane. Equivalently, the vector of eigenvalues (with uniform order) has density

$$\frac{1}{\pi^n\prod_{k=1}^n k!}\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|^2 e^{-\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^2},$$

with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$. This is a determinantal point process from the random matrix theory, introduced by Ginibre [Gin65]. For more determinantal point processes from the random matrix theory, irrelevant for us, we refer the reader to see [Dys62], [Kri06], [AB12], [AKRRRS16] and references therein.

2. **Infinite Ginibre ensemble :** The determinantal point process in the complex plane with the kernel $\mathbb{K}_\infty^{(2)}(z,w) = \frac{1}{\pi}e^{z\overline{w}-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2-\frac{1}{2}|w|^2}$ with respect to Lebesgue measure on the complex plane, equivalently, with respect to the kernel $e^{z\overline{w}}$ with respect to background measure $\frac{1}{\pi}e^{-|z|^2}dm(z)$ on $\mathbb{C}$. The kernel $e^{z\overline{w}}$ is a projection kernel from $L^2(\mathbb{C},\frac{1}{\pi}e^{-|z|^2}dm(z))$ to the space of all entire functions in $L^2(\mathbb{C},\frac{1}{\pi}e^{-|z|^2}dm(z))$. Since the kernel $\mathbb{K}_n^{(2)}(z,w)$ converge to the kernel $\mathbb{K}_\infty^{(2)}(z,w)$, the n-th Ginibre ensemble converges to the infinite Ginibre ensemble in distribution as $n \to \infty$.

3. $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$**:** For fixed $\alpha > 0$, $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ is a determinantal point process in the complex plane with the kernel

$$\mathbb{K}_n^{(\alpha)}(z,w) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(z\overline{w})^k}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1))} e^{-\frac{|z|^\alpha}{2} - \frac{|w|^\alpha}{2}},$$

with respect to Lebesgue measure on the complex plane. Equivalently, the vector of points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ (in uniform random order) has density

$$\frac{\alpha^n}{n!(2\pi)^n \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1))} e^{-\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^\alpha} \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2,$$

with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Note that, $\alpha = 2$ gives the n-th Ginibre ensemble.

4. $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ **:** For fixed $\alpha > 0$, $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ is the determinatal point process in the complex plane with the kernel $\mathbb{K}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(z,w) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} E_{\frac{2}{\alpha},\frac{2}{\alpha}}(z\overline{w}) e^{-\frac{|z|^\alpha}{2} - \frac{|w|^\alpha}{2}}$ with respect to Lebesgue measure on the complex plane, where $E_{a,b}(z)$ denotes the Mittag-Laffler function (see [HMS11]), an entire function when $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, defined by

$$E_{a,b}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(ak+b)}.$$

Note that, for $\alpha = 2$, $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(2)}$ is the infinite Ginibre ensemble. The kernels $\mathbb{K}_n^{(\alpha)}(z,w)$ converge to the kernel $\mathbb{K}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(z,w)$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore the point processes $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ converge to the point process $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ in distribution as $n \to \infty$.

5. $\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}$ **:** Let the function $g : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ satisfies the following conditions:

   a) $g(r)$ is increasing function in r such that $re^{-\frac{g(r)}{2}} \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$.

   b) g is a twice differentiable function on $(0,\infty)$.

   c) $\lim_{r\to 0^+} rg'(r) = 0$ and $rg'(r)$ is increasing on $(0,\infty)$.

   d) $c_k = \int_0^\infty r^{2k+1} e^{-g(r)}\, dr < \infty$ for all $k = 0, 1, \ldots$.

   Observe that, $g(r) = r^\alpha$ satisfies the above conditions for $\alpha > 0$. Then $\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}$ is a determinantal point process with kernel

$$\mathbb{K}_n^{(g)}(z,w) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(z\overline{w})^k}{c_k^{(n)}} e^{-\frac{ng(|z|)}{2} - \frac{ng(|w|)}{2}},$$

with respect to Lebesgue measure on the complex plane, where the constants $c_k^{(n)} = \int |z|^{2k} e^{-ng(|z|)}\, dm(z)$ for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. Equivalently, the vector of points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}$ (in uniform random order) has the density

$$\frac{1}{Z_n^{(g)}} \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2 e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n g(|z_k|)},$$

with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$, where Z_n is the normalizing constant, i.e.,

$$Z_n^{(g)} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{C}} \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2 e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n g(|z_k|)} \prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k) = n! \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k^{(n)}.$$

Note that if $g = r^\alpha$ for $\alpha > 0$ and if we scaled $\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}$ by $n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$, then we get $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$, i.e.,

$$\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)} = n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)} := \{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.z : z \in z \in \mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}\}.$$

In Chapter 3 and Chapter 4, we calculate the hole probabilities for these determinantal point processes.

1.2 A brief survey of hole probabilities

Here we shall mention few results of hole probabilities for few point processes.

- Let $\mathscr{X}_f$ be a point process of zeros set of the Gaussian analytic functions

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{z^k}{\sqrt{k!}},$$

where a_k are i.i.d. standard complex normal random variables (i.e., each has the density $\frac{1}{\pi} e^{-|z|^2}$ with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}$). Sodin and Tsirelson [ST05] showed that for $r \geq 1$,

$$e^{-Cr^4} \leq \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_f(r\mathbb{D}) = 0] \leq e^{-cr^4},$$

for some positive constants c and C.

- Later Alon Nishry [Nis10] calculated the sharp constant in the exponent of the hole probability for $\mathscr{X}_f$ as $r \to \infty$. In fact he showed that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_f(r\mathbb{D}) = 0] = -\frac{3e^2}{4}.$$

  In the same paper, he calculated the asymptotics of hole probabilities for zeros of a wide class of random entire functions. For more results in this direction, e.g., see [Nis11], [Nis12].

- Let $\mathscr{X}_{f_L}$ be the zeors set of hyperbolic Gaussian analytic function f_L on unit disk, where

$$f_L(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{L(L+1)\cdots(L+k-1)}{k!}}\, a_k z^k, \ 0 < L < \infty,$$

  and a_k are i.i.d. standard complex normal random variables. Recently, Buckley, Nishry, Peled and Sodin [BNPS16] proved the following results, asymptotics of hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_{f_L}$, as $r \to 1^-$

$$-\log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_{f_L}(r\mathbb{D}) = 0] = \begin{cases} \Theta\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^L} \log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) & \text{if} \quad 0 < L < 1 \\ \Theta\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right) & \text{if} \quad L = 1 \\ \Theta\left(\frac{1}{1-r} \log^2 \frac{1}{1-r}\right) & \text{if} \quad 0 < L < 1. \end{cases}$$

  Note that there is a transition in the asymptotics of the hole probability according to whether $0 < L < 1$ or $L = 1$ or $L > 1$.

- Akemann and Strahov [AS13] calculated the asymptotics for the hole probabilities for the eigenvalues of the product of finite matrices with i.i.d. standard complex normal entries.

1.3 Description of problems and proof techniques

Consider the infinite Ginibre ensemble $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(2)}$ in the complex plane. It is known (see [HKPV09], Proposition 7.2.1) that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(2)}(r\mathbb{D}) = 0] = -\frac{1}{4}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathbb{D}$ is open unit disk. The immediate consequence of (1.1), for a general open set $U \subset \mathbb{D}$, there exist constants C_1 and C_2 (depending on U) such that

$$C_1 \leq \liminf_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(2)}(rU) = 0] \leq \limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(2)}(rU) = 0] \leq C_2,$$

as U contains some disk and is contained in some bigger disk. The natural question is following:

Question: *What is the exact value of the limit (if exists)*

$$C_U := \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(2)}(rU) = 0]?$$

Recently, it was shown [AR16] that the limit exists, under some mild conditions on U. The constant, (say) decay constant, is explicit in terms of minimum energy of complement of the set quadratic external fields. Moreover, it was calculated the constants explicitly for sets like disk, annulus, ellipse, cardioid, equilateral triangle and half disk.

In this thesis we prove the similar asymptotic results of hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$. The essential ideas of the proofs are borrowed from the paper [AR16]. As a consequence of these results, for $\alpha = 2$, we get the hole probabilities for the infinite Ginibre ensemble.

The key idea of the proof of (1.1) is that the set of absolute values of the points of $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(2)}$ has the same distribution as $\{R_1, R_2, \ldots\}$, where $R_k^2 \sim \text{Gamma}(k, 1)$ and all the R_ks are independent. We show that the set of absolute values of the points of $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ has the same distribution as $\{R_1, R_2, \ldots\}$, where $R_k^\alpha \sim \text{Gamma}(\frac{2}{\alpha}k, 1)$ and all the R_ks are independent. Using this result we show that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(r\mathbb{D}) = 0] = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4},$$

for $\alpha > 0$. In particular, $\alpha = 2$ gives (1.1). By the same idea, we also calculate the decay constant for the annulus.

The above idea cannot be applied for non circular domains. One possible way to calculate the hole probabilities, for general sets, is Fredholm determinant. The hole probability for $\mathscr{X}$, a determinantal point process with kernel $\mathbb{K}$ and measure μ, in terms of Fredholm determinant is given by

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}(B) = 0] = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_B \cdots \int_B \det(\mathbb{K}(x_i, x_j))_{1\leq i,j\leq n} \prod_{k=1}^{n} d\mu(x_k),$$

where B is any Borel measurable set in $\mathbb{C}$. For the proof of the above equality and more details of the Fredholm determinant see Lemma 3.2.4 and Section 3.4 in [AGZ10] respectively. But, it is difficult to calculate hole probabilities using the above formula.

We use the potential theory techniques to calculate the hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ in general domains. Now we explain the proof techniques for infinite Ginibre ensemble. Since the infinite Ginibre ensemble is the distributional limit of finite Ginibre ensembles, the hole probabilities for infinite Ginibre ensemble is limit of the hole probabilities for finite Ginibre ensembles. The hole probability for n-th Ginibre ensemble, in $\sqrt{n}U$, is given by

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(2)}(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] = \frac{1}{Z_n}\int_{U^c}\dots\int_{U^c} e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^2}\prod_{i<j}|z_i - z_j|^2\prod_{i=1}^n dm(z_i),$$

where $Z_n = n^{-\frac{n^2}{2}}\pi^n\prod_{k=1}^n k!$ and $U \subset \mathbb{D}$.

The circular law [Gin65] tells us that the empirical eigenvalue distribution ρ_n of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}G_n$ converges to the uniform measure on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ as $n \to \infty$. So, for $U \subset \mathbb{D}$, $\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(2)}(\sqrt{n}U) = 0]$ converges to zero as $n \to \infty$. Observe that $\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(2)}(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] = \mathbf{P}[\rho_n \in \mathscr{P}(U^c)]$, where $\mathscr{P}(E)$ denotes the space of all compactly supported probability measures with support in E, closed subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Therefore by Large deviation principle for the empirical eigenvalue distribution of Ginibre ensemble, proved in [PH98], we have an upper bound for the limits of the hole probabilities,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n(\sqrt{n}U) = 0] \le -\inf_{\mu\in\mathscr{P}(U^c)} R_\mu^{(2)} + \frac{3}{4},$$

where the rate function $R_\mu^{(2)}$ is the following functional on $\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{C})$

$$R_\mu^{(2)} = \iint \log\frac{1}{|z-w|}d\mu(z)d\mu(w) + \int |z|^2 d\mu(z),$$

as the set $\mathscr{P}(U^c)$ is closed in $\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{C})$ with weak topology. No non-trivial lower bound for the hole probabilities can be deduced from the large deviation principle, as the set $\mathscr{P}(U^c)$ has empty interior. See that, for $a \in U$ and $\mu \in \mathscr{P}(U^c)$, $(1-\frac{1}{n})\mu + \frac{1}{n}\delta_a \notin \mathscr{P}(U^c)$ for all n and converges to μ as $n \to \infty$. Nonetheless, using the properties of balayage measures and Fekete points we have the lower bound, with same quantity, for two class of open sets.

Using similar idea we calculate the hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ for large class of sets. Similar to circular law, we show that the limiting empirical distribution of points of $n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}.\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ (each point is scaled down by $n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$) exists. Moreover we calculate the hole probabilities for the determinantal process $\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}$, using potential theory.

1.4 Plan of the thesis

In this section we give a chapter wise brief description of this thesis.

- In Chapter 2 we give definitions of the equilibrium measure and the minimum energy with external fields and their properties. We derive a formula for the equilibrium measures and for the minimum energies for a certain class of sets, in terms of balayage measures. We give examples of balayage measures for a class of external fields. In particular, we calculate the equilibrium measures and the minimum energies for the complement sets of the disk, annulus, ellipse, cardioid, half disk and equilateral triangle with the quadratic external fields.

- In Chapter 3 we calculate the asymptotics, as $n \to \infty$, of the hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}$ and $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ for a large class of sets . It turns out that the decay constants are explicit in terms of the minimum energies of the sets, depending on external fields. As a corollary of these results, we get the hole probability results for finite Ginibre ensembles, proved in [AR16].

- In Chapter 4 we derive the asymptotic of $\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU) = 0]$ as $r \to \infty$ for a large class of open sets U. We derive the decay constants explicitly in terms of the minimum energies of the sets, with the external fields $|z|^\alpha$. In particular, $\alpha = 2$ gives the hole probability results for infinite Ginibre ensemble, proved in [AR16].

- In chapter 5 we calculate the hole probabilities for finite β-ensembles in the complex plane.

- In Chapter 6 we move away from hole probabilities. We consider a family of determinantal point processes $\mathscr{X}_L$ in the unit disk with kernels $\mathbb{K}_L$ with respect

to the measures μ_L for $L > 0$, where

$$\mathbb{K}_L(z,w) = \frac{1}{(1-z\overline{w})^{L+1}} \text{ and } d\mu_L(z) = \frac{L}{\pi}(1-|z|^2)^{L-1}dm(z),$$

for $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$. We show that the asymptotics of variances of $\mathscr{X}_L(r\mathbb{D})$, as $r \to 1^-$, does not change with L. We also calculate the variances of linear statistics of $\varphi_p(z) = (1-\frac{|z|^2}{r^2})_+^{\frac{p}{2}}$ for $p > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$. Interestingly, it turns out that there is a transitions in variances at $p = 1$ as $r \to 1^-$, does not depend on L.

2 Equilibrium measures

In this chapter we calculate the weighted equilibrium measures and the weighted minimum energies for some sets with certain external fields. The minimum energies will play a crucial role in next two chapters, in calculating the hole probabilities. We start with basic definitions and facts of classical potential theory from [Ran95], [ST97].

2.1 Preliminaries

The support of a positive measure μ on $\mathbb{C}$, denoted by $\mathrm{supp}(\mu)$, consists of all points z such that $\mu(D(z,r)) > 0$ for every open disk $D(z,r)$ of radius $r > 0$ and with center at z. The measure μ is said to be compactly supported if $\mathrm{supp}(\mu)$ is compact. Let μ be a compactly supported probability measure on $\mathbb{C}$. Then its *potential* is the function $p_\mu : \mathbb{C} \to (-\infty, \infty]$ defined by

$$p_\mu(z) := -\int \log|z-w| d\mu(w) \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Its *logarithmic energy* I_μ is defined by

$$I_\mu := -\iint \log|z-w| d\mu(z) d\mu(w) = \int p_\mu(z) d\mu(z).$$

A set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ is said to be polar if $I_\mu = \infty$ for all compactly supported probability measures μ with $\mathrm{supp}(\mu) \subset E$. The *capacity* of a subset E of $\mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$C(E) := e^{-\inf\{I_\mu : \mu \in \mathscr{P}(E)\}},$$

where $\mathscr{P}(E)$ is the space of all compactly supported probability measure with support in E. A set is polar if and only the capacity is zero. Singleton sets are polar sets and

countable union of polar sets is again a polar set. A property is said to hold *quasi-everywhere* (q.e.) on $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ if it holds everywhere on E except some Borel polar set. Every Borel probability measure with finite logarithmic energy assigns zero measure to Borel polar sets (see Theorem 3.2.3, [Ran95]). So, a property, which holds q.e. on E, holds μ-*a.e.* on E, for every μ with finite energy. As a corollary, we have that every Borel polar set has Lebesgue measure zero and a property, which holds q.e. on E, holds a.e. on E.

A weight function $w : E \to [0,\infty)$, on a closed subset E of $\mathbb{C}$, is said to be *admissible* if it satisfies the following three conditions:

1. w is upper semi-continuous,
2. $E_0 := \{z \in E | w(z) > 0\}$ has positive capacity,
3. if E is unbounded, then $|z|w(z) \to 0$ as $|z| \to \infty, z \in E$.

Weighted equilibrium measure: A probability measure, with support in E, which minimizes the following weighted energy

$$R_\mu = \int p_\mu(z) d\mu(z) + 2\int Q(z) d\mu(z),$$

where $w = e^{-Q}$ is an admissible weight function, is called *weighted equilibrium measure* for E with external field Q. The weighted minimum energy is $R_{E^c} := \inf\{R_\mu : \mu \in \mathscr{P}(E)\}$. For simplicity we write **minimum energy** and **equilibrium measure** instead of weighted minimum energy and weighted equilibrium measure respectively. We have the following fact regarding equilibrium measure.

Fact 2.1. Let $w = e^{-Q}$ be an admissible weight function on a closed set E. Then there exists a unique equilibrium measure ν, for E with external field Q. The equilibrium measure ν has compact support and R_ν is finite (so is I_ν). Further, ν satisfies the following conditions

$$p_\nu(z) + Q(z) = C \tag{2.1}$$

for q.e. $z \in \text{supp}(\nu)$ and

$$p_\nu(z) + Q(z) \geq C \tag{2.2}$$

for q.e. $z \in E$ for some constant C. Also, the above conditions uniquely characterize the equilibrium measure, i.e. a probability measure with compact support in E and finite energy, which satisfies the conditions (2.1) and (2.2) for some constant C, is the equilibrium measure for E with external field Q.

For a proof of this fact see [ST97] (Chapter I Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 3.3). The discrete analogue of the above minimization problem of R_μ is the problem of finding the limit of

$$\delta_n^\omega(E) := \sup_{z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n \in E} \left\{ \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j| \omega(z_i)\omega(z_j) \right\}^{\frac{2}{n(n-1)}},$$

as $n \to \infty$. A sets $\mathscr{F}_n = \{z_1^*, z_2^*, \ldots, z_n^*\} \subset E$ is said to be an *n-th weighted Fekete set* for E if

$$\delta_n^\omega(E) = \left\{ \prod_{i<j} |z_i^* - z_j^*| \omega(z_i^*)\omega(z_j^*) \right\}^{\frac{2}{n(n-1)}}.$$

The points $z_1^*, z_2^*, \ldots, z_n^*$ in a weighted Fekete set $\mathscr{F}_n$ are called n-th weighted Fekete points. It is known that the sequence $\{\delta_n^\omega(E)\}_{n=2}^\infty$ decreases to e^{-R_ν}, where ν is the weighted equilibrium measure, i.e.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta_n^\omega(E) = e^{-R_\nu} = e^{-\inf_{\mu \in \mathscr{P}(E)} R_\mu}. \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, the uniform probability measures on n-th weighted Fekete sets converge weakly to equilibrium measure ν, i.e.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \nu_{\mathscr{F}_n} = \nu,$$

where $\nu_{\mathscr{F}_n}$ is uniform measure on $\mathscr{F}_n$. For the proofs of these facts, see [ST97], Chapter III Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3. The following fact (an application of Theorem 4.7 in Chapter II, [ST97], to bounded open sets) is about the existence and uniqueness of the balayage measure.

Fact 2.2. Let U be an bounded open subset of $\mathbb{C}$ and μ be a finite Borel measure on U (i.e., $\mu(U^c) = 0$). Then there exists a unique measure $\hat{\mu}$ on ∂U such that $\hat{\mu}(\partial U) = \mu(U)$, $\hat{\mu}(B) = 0$ for every Borel polar set $B \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $p_{\hat{\mu}(z)} = p_\mu(z)$ for q.e. $z \in U^c$. $\hat{\mu}$ is said to be the **balayage measure** associated with μ on U.

We use the following well known fact, known as Jensen's formula.

Fact 2.3. For each $r > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log\frac{1}{|z - re^{i\theta}|}d\theta = \begin{cases} \log\frac{1}{r} & \text{if } |z| \le r \\ \log\frac{1}{|z|} & \text{if } |z| > r \end{cases}.$$

To see this, note that $\log|1-z|$ is harmonic on $\mathbb{D}$, by mean value property we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log|1 - re^{i\theta}|d\theta = 0 \text{ for } r < 1.$$

This equality is true also for $r = 1$, by direct calculation. This implies that $\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\log|z - re^{i\theta}|d\theta = \log(\max\{|z|, r\})$.

2.2 Equilibrium measure in general settings

In this section we derive the weighted equilibrium measures and the minimum energies of certain sets, for a class of external fields. Let $Q(z) = \frac{g(|z|)}{2}$, where the function $g : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ satisfies the following conditions:

1. $g(r)$ is increasing function in r such that $re^{-\frac{g(r)}{2}} \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$.
2. g is a twice differentiable function on $(0,\infty)$.
3. $\lim_{r\to 0^+} rg'(r) = 0$ and $rg'(r)$ is increasing on $(0,\infty)$.

Observe that, $g(r) = r^\alpha$ satisfies the above conditions for $\alpha > 0$. Clearly, $w(z) = e^{-\frac{g(|z|)}{2}}$ is an admissible weight function on such E. In this section we assume that g satisfies above three conditions.

Through out this thesis, T is the solution of $tg'(t) = 2$ (i.e., $Tg'(T) = 2$) and $D(0,T)$ is the disk of radius T with center at origin. The third condition on g implies that T is unique. We shall use following notations:

$$R_U^{(g)} = \inf\{R_\mu^{(g)} : \mu \in \mathscr{P}(U^c)\} \text{ where } R_\mu^{(g)} = \iint \log\tfrac{1}{|z-w|}d\mu(z)d\mu(w) + \int g(|z|)d\mu(z);$$
$$R_U^{(\alpha)} = \inf\{R_\mu^{(\alpha)} : \mu \in \mathscr{P}(U^c)\} \text{ where } R_\mu^{(\alpha)} = \iint \log\tfrac{1}{|z-w|}d\mu(z)d\mu(w) + \int |z|^\alpha d\mu(z).$$

Note that $R_U^{(\alpha)}$ is a slight abuse of the notation $R_U^{(g)}$. First we calculate the equilibrium measure for $E = \mathbb{C}$, then for $E = \mathbb{C}\backslash U$ for certain class of open sets $U \subset D(0,T)$ with the external fields $\frac{g(|z|)}{2}$.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose $E = \mathbb{C}$ and $Q(z) = \frac{g(|z|)}{2}$ (external fields) on $\mathbb{C}$. Then the equilibrium measure μ, set $z = re^{i\theta}$, is given by*

$$d\mu(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4\pi}[g''(r) + \frac{1}{r}g'(r)]dm(z) & \text{if } |z| \le T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where $T > 0$ such that $Tg'(T) = 2$. The minimum energy is

$$R_\emptyset^{(g)} = \log\frac{1}{T} + g(T) - \frac{1}{4}\int_0^T r(g'(r))^2 dr.$$

Before proving the theorem we present two examples.

Example 2.5.

1. If $g(r) = r^\alpha$, then $T = (\frac{2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$. The equilibrium measure is $d\mu(z) = \frac{\alpha^2}{4\pi}r^{\alpha-2}dm(z)$ on $D(0,(\frac{2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})$ and the minimum energy is $R_\emptyset^{(\alpha)} = \frac{3}{4}\cdot\frac{2}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\alpha}\log\frac{2}{\alpha}$.
2. In particular when $g(r) = r^2$, i.e., $\alpha = 2$ then $T = 1$. The equilibrium measure μ is uniform measure on $\mathbb{D}$, i.e., $d\mu(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}dm(z)$ on $\mathbb{D}$ and the minimum energy is $R_\emptyset^{(2)} = \frac{3}{4}$. Proof of this particular case can be found in [AR16].

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $d\mu(z) = \frac{1}{4\pi}[g''(r) + \frac{1}{r}g'(r)]dm(z)$ when $z \in D(0,T)$ and zero other wise. The condition $Tg'(T) = 2$ implies that μ is a probability measure on $D(0,T)$. Indeed,

$$\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_0^T\int_0^{2\pi}[g''(r) + \frac{1}{r}g'(r)]rdrd\theta = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T[rg''(r) + g'(r)]dr = \frac{1}{2}Tg'(T) = 1.$$

We show that the measure μ satisfies the conditions (2.1) and (2.2). Hence by Fact 2.1 we conclude that μ is the equilibrium measure.

By Fact 2.3, for $|z| \le T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_\mu(z) &= \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_0^T\int_0^{2\pi}\log\frac{1}{|z - re^{i\theta}|}.(g''(r) + \frac{1}{r}g'(r))rdrd\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_0^{|z|}\log\frac{1}{|z|}.(rg''(r) + g'(r))dr + \int_{|z|}^T\log\frac{1}{r}.(rg''(r) + g'(r))dr\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left[2\log\frac{1}{T} + g(T) - g(|z|)\right], \end{aligned}$$

the last equality follows from the facts that $\lim_{r\to 0^+} rg'(r) = 0$ and $Tg'(T) = 2$. Therefore

$$p_\mu(z) + \frac{g(|z|)}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\left[2\log\frac{1}{T} + g(T)\right] \text{ for } |z| \le T. \tag{2.4}$$

Hence μ satisfies the condition (2.1). On other hand, for $|z| > T$

$$\begin{aligned} p_\mu(z) &= \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_0^T\int_0^{2\pi} \log\frac{1}{|z - re^{i\theta}|}.(g''(r) + \frac{1}{r}g'(r))rdrd\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_0^T \log\frac{1}{|z|}.(rg''(r) + g'(r))dr\right] \quad \text{(by Fact 2.3)} \\ &= \log\frac{1}{|z|}, \end{aligned}$$

we get last equality by using the facts $\lim_{r\to 0^+} rg'(r) = 0$ and $Tg'(T) = 2$. The function $f(r) = \log\frac{1}{r} + \frac{g(r)}{2}$ is increasing function on $[T,\infty)$. Indeed, $f'(r) = -\frac{1}{r} + \frac{g'(r)}{2} \ge 0$ for $r \ge T$, as $rg'(r)$ is increasing. Therefore

$$p_\mu(z) + \frac{g(|z|)}{2} = \log\frac{1}{|z|} + \frac{g(|z|)}{2} \ge \frac{1}{2}\left[2\log\frac{1}{T} + g(T)\right] \text{ for } |z| > T. \tag{2.5}$$

Hence μ satisfies the condition (2.2). Therefore μ is the equilibrium measure.

Value of $R_\emptyset^{(g)}$: We have

$$\begin{aligned} R_\emptyset^{(g)} &= \int p_\mu(z)d\mu(z) + \int g(|z|)d\mu(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left[2\log\frac{1}{T} + g(T)\right] + \frac{1}{2}\int g(|z|)d\mu(z) \ \text{(by (2.4))} \\ &= \log\frac{1}{T} + \frac{1}{2}g(T) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\int_0^{2\pi} g(r).\frac{1}{4\pi}(rg''(r) + g'(r))drd\theta \\ &= \log\frac{1}{T} + g(T) - \frac{1}{4}\int_0^T r(g'(r))^2dr, \end{aligned}$$

by integration by parts and using $\lim_{r\to 0^+} rg'(r) = 0$ and $Tg'(T) = 2$. ■

Next theorem gives the equilibrium measures and the minimum energies for complements of open subsets of $D(0,T)$.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $E = \mathbb{C}\setminus U = U^c$, where $U \subset D(0,T)$ is an open set. Then the equilibrium measure for U^c, with the external field $\frac{g(|z|)}{2}$, is $\nu = \mu_1 + \nu_2$ and*

$$R_U^{(g)} = R_\emptyset^{(g)} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\partial U} g(|z|)d\nu_2(z) - \int_U g(|z|)d\mu_2(z)\right], \tag{2.6}$$

where μ_1 and μ_2 are restrictions of the measure μ, as in Theorem 2.4, on to the sets $D(0,T)\setminus U$ and U respectively, i.e.,

$$d\mu_1(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4\pi}(g''(r)+\frac{1}{r}g'(r))dm(z) & \text{if } z\in D(0,T)\setminus U \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$d\mu_2(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4\pi}(g''(r)+\frac{1}{r}g'(r))dm(z) & \text{if } z\in U \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and ν_2 is the balayage measure on ∂U with respect to the measure μ_2.

Remark 2.7.

1. If $g(r)=r^\alpha$ and $\alpha>0$. Then for $U\subseteq D(0,(\frac{2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})$, the constant is
$$R_U^{(\alpha)} = \frac{3}{4}\cdot\frac{2}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\alpha}\log\frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\partial U} g(|z|)d\nu_2(z) - \int_U g(|z|)d\mu_2(z)\right].$$
2. If $g(r)=r^\alpha$ and $\alpha>0$. Then the constant
$$R_U^{(\alpha)'} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\partial U} g(|z|)d\nu_2(z) - \int_U g(|z|)d\mu_2(z)\right]$$
satisfies the scaling property $R_{aU}^{(\alpha)'} = a^{2\alpha}R_U^{(\alpha)'}$.
3. We can see Theorem 2.4 as a particular case of Theorem 2.6, when $U=\emptyset$. But we shall use Theorem 2.4 to prove Theorem 2.6.

Now, we proceed to prove Theorem 2.6.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Let μ be the equilibrium measure for $\mathbb{C}$ with external field $\frac{g(|z|)}{2}$, as in Theorem 2.4. Let $\mu=\mu_1+\mu_2$, where μ_1 and μ_2 are μ restricted to U^c and U respectively. By Fact 2.2, we know that there exists a measure ν_2 on ∂U such that $\nu_2(\partial U)=\mu_2(U)$, $\nu_2(B)=0$ for every Borel polar set and

$$p_{\nu_2}(z) = p_{\mu_2}(z) \quad \text{q.e. on } U^c.$$

Define $\nu=\mu_1+\nu_2$. Then we have that the support of ν is $\overline{D(0,T)}\setminus U$ and

$$p_\nu(z) = p_{\mu_1}(z)+p_{\nu_2}(z) = p_{\mu_1}(z)+p_{\mu_2}(z) = p_\mu(z) \quad \text{q.e. on } U^c.$$

Again we have (2.4) and (2.5), i.e.,

$$p_\mu(z)+\frac{g(|z|)}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\left[2\log\frac{1}{T}+g(T)\right] \quad \text{if } |z|\le T$$

$$p_\mu(z)+\frac{g(|z|)}{2} \ge \frac{1}{2}\left[2\log\frac{1}{T}+g(T)\right] \quad \text{if } |z|>T.$$

This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} p_\nu(z) + \frac{g(|z|)}{2} &= \frac{1}{2}\left[2\log\frac{1}{T} + g(T)\right] \quad \text{for q.e. } z \in \text{supp}(\nu) \\ p_\nu(z) + \frac{g(|z|)}{2} &\geq \frac{1}{2}\left[2\log\frac{1}{T} + g(T)\right] \quad \text{for q.e. } z \in U^c. \end{aligned}$$

The energy of the measure ν,

$$I_\nu = \int p_\nu(z)d\nu(z) = \frac{1}{2}\left[2\log\frac{1}{T} + g(T)\right] - \frac{1}{2}\int g(|z|)d\nu(z), \tag{2.7}$$

is finite. The second equality follows from the fact that $\nu(B) = 0$ for all Borel polar sets B. So, ν has finite energy and satisfies conditions (2.1) and (2.2). Therefore, by Fact 2.1, ν is the equilibrium measure for U^c with the external field $\frac{g(|z|)}{2}$.

Value of $R_U^{(g)}$: We have

$$R_\nu^{(g)} = \int p_\nu(z)d\nu(z) + \int g(|z|)d\nu(z) = I_\nu + \int g(|z|)d\nu(z).$$

Therefore, by (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_\nu^{(g)} &= \frac{1}{2}\left[2\log\frac{1}{T} + g(T)\right] + \frac{1}{2}\int g(|z|)d\nu(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left[2\log\frac{1}{T} + g(T)\right] + \frac{1}{2}\int g(|z|)d\mu_1(z) + \frac{1}{2}\int g(|z|)d\nu_2(z) \\ &= R_\emptyset^{(g)} - \frac{1}{2}\int_U g(|z|)d\mu_2(z) + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial U} g(|z|)d\nu_2(z) \\ &= R_\emptyset^{(g)} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\partial U} g(|z|)d\nu_2(z) - \int_U g(|z|)d\mu_2(z)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows from the fact that $R_U^{(g)} = R_\nu^{(g)}$. ■

Remark 2.8. Let ν_2 and μ_2 be as in the above proof i.e. ν_2 is the balayage measure associated with μ_2. We have $p_{\nu_2}(z) = p_{\mu_2}(z)$ for q.e. $z \in U^c$. As the logarithmic potential of a measure is harmonic outside its support, $p_{\nu_2}(z) = p_{\mu_2}(z)$ holds for every $z \in \overline{U}^c$. Outside $D(0,T)$, $p_{\nu_2}(z)$ and $p_{\mu_2}(z)$ are real parts of the analytic functions $-\int_{\partial U}\log(z-w)d\nu_2(w)$ and $-\int_{\partial U}\log(z-w)d\mu_2(w)$, respectively. So there exists a

constant c such that for all $|z| > T$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial U} \log(z-w)d\nu_2(w) &= \int_U \log(z-w)d\mu_2(w) + c, \\ \Leftrightarrow \int_{\partial U} [\log z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^n}{nz^n}]d\nu_2(w) &= \int_U [\log z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^n}{nz^n}]d\mu_2(w) + c \\ \Leftrightarrow \int_{\partial U} w^n d\nu_2(w) &= \int_U w^n d\mu_2(w), \forall n \geqslant 0 \text{ and } c = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

To see the converse of the above, suppose ν_2 is a measure on ∂U which satisfies the relations (2.8), then $p_{\nu_2}(z) = p_{\mu_2}(z)$ for every $z \in \overline{U}^c$. If ∂U is a piecewise smooth curve and ν_2 has density with respect to arc-length on ∂U, then $p_{\nu_2}(z)$ is continuous at all the continuity points of the density of ν_2 ([ST97] Chapter II Theorem 1.5). In this case $p_{\mu_2}(z)$ is also continuous on ∂U. So if the density of ν_2 is piecewise continuous on ∂U, we get that $p_{\nu_2}(z) = p_{\mu_2}(z)$ for q.e. $z \in U^c$. Therefore when ∂U is piecewise smooth curve, a measure ν_2 on ∂U which has piecewise continuous density with respect to arclength and satisfies relations (2.8) is the balayage measure on ∂U.

2.2.1 Examples of balayage measures

In this section we calculate the balayage measures for disk and annulus with the external field $\frac{g(|z|)}{2}$.

Example 2.9. Let $U = D(0,a)$, disk of radius $a < T$ centered at origin. Then the balayage measure on ∂U with respect to the measure $\mu\big|_U$, where μ as in Theorem 2.4, is

$$d\nu_2(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4\pi} a g'(a) d\theta & \text{if } |z| = a, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example 2.10. Let $U = \{z : 0 < a < |z| < b < T\}$, annulus with inner radius a and outer radius b. Then the balayage measure on ∂U with respect to the measure $\mu\big|_U$, where μ as in the Theorem 2.4, is

$$d\nu_2(z) = \begin{cases} \lambda.\frac{1}{4\pi}(bg'(b) - ag'(a))d\theta & \text{if } |z| = a, \\ (1-\lambda).\frac{1}{4\pi}(bg'(b) - ag'(a))d\theta & \text{if } |z| = b, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where λ is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{(g(b)-g(a)) - ag'(a)\log(b/a)}{(bg'(b)-ag'(a))\log(b/a)}.$$

Remark 2.11. Suppose $g(t) = t^\alpha$, for $\alpha > 0$.

1. If $U = D(0,a)$, where $a \le (\frac{2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$. Then the balyage measure on ∂U and minimum energy are given below:

$$d\nu_2(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} a^\alpha d\theta & \text{if } |z| = a, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad R_U^{(\alpha)} - R_\emptyset^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{a^{2\alpha}}{4}.$$

2. If $U = \{z : 0 < a < |z| < b < (\frac{2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\}$, annulus with inner radius a and outer radius b. Then the balayage measure on ∂U is

$$d\nu_2(z) = \begin{cases} \lambda.\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}(b^\alpha - a^\alpha)d\theta & \text{if } |z| = a, \\ (1-\lambda).\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}(b^\alpha - a^\alpha)d\theta & \text{if } |z| = b, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } \lambda = \frac{(b^\alpha - a^\alpha) - \alpha a^\alpha \log(b/a)}{\alpha(b^\alpha - a^\alpha)\log(b/a)}.$$

   The minimum energy is given by

$$R_U^{(\alpha)} - R_\emptyset^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{b^{2\alpha}}{4} - \frac{a^{2\alpha}}{4} - \frac{(b^\alpha - a^\alpha)^2}{2\alpha \log(b/a)} \right).$$

We show the computations for the Example 2.10 and we skip the (similar) calculations for Example 2.9.

Computations for Example 2.10. If $|z| \le a$, then by Fact 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\mu_2}(z) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_a^b \int_0^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|z - re^{i\theta}|} . \left[g''(r) + \frac{1}{r} g'(r) \right] r\, dr\, d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b [r g''(r) + g'(r)] . \log \frac{1}{r} . dr \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[-bg'(b)\log b + ag'(a)\log a + \int_a^b g'(r)\, dr \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(g(b) - g(a)) - bg'(b)\log b + ag'(a)\log a \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Again for $|z| \le a$, the potential for ν_2 at z is

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\nu_2}(z) =& \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \lambda.(bg'(b) - ag'(a)) \log \frac{1}{|z - ae^{i\theta}|} d\theta \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} (1-\lambda).(bg'(b) - ag'(a)) \log \frac{1}{|z - be^{i\theta}|} d\theta \\ =& \frac{\lambda}{2} \cdot \left[bg'(b) - ag'(a)\right] \cdot \log(b/a) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot [bg'(b) - ag'(a)] \cdot \log b, \end{aligned}$$

last equality follows from the Fact 2.3. By equating $p_{\mu_2}(z) = p_{\nu_2}(z)$ for $|z| \le a$, we get

$$\lambda = \frac{(g(b) - g(a)) - ag'(a)\log(b/a)}{(bg'(b) - ag'(a))\log(b/a)}.$$

Similarly, it can be shown that $p_{\mu_2}(z) = p_{\nu_2}(z)$ for $|z| \ge b$ for all choice of λ. Therefore $p_{\mu_2}(z) = p_{\nu_2}(z)$ if $z \in U^c$ for the above particular choice of λ. Hence the result. ■

2.3 Equilibrium measure with quadratic external fields

In this section we calculate the equilibrium measures and the minimum energies for some sets with quadratic external fields. The following theorem has been proved in [AR16], a particular case (for $g(|z|) = |z|^2$) of Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 2.12. *Let U be an open set such that $U \subseteq \mathbb{D}$. Then the equilibrium measure for U^c, under logarithmic potential with quadratic external field, is $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$ and*

$$R_U^{(2)} = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\partial U} |z|^2 d\nu_2(z) - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U |z|^2 dm(z)\right], \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$d\nu_1(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} dm(z) & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{D}\setminus U \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

and ν_2 is the balayage measure on ∂U with respect to the measure $\frac{1}{\pi} m\big|_U$.

Next subsection we have calculated the equilibrium measures ν and the constant R_ν for some particular sets.

2.3.1 Table of examples

Suppose $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$ is the equilibrium measure for $\overline{\mathbb{D}}\backslash U$ as in Theorem 2.12. In this section we write R_U and R'_U instead of $R_U^{(2)}$ and $R_U^{(2)'}$ respectively. Then $R_U = \frac{3}{4} + R'_U$. The balayage measure ν_2 and R'_U, for some particular open sets U, are given in the following table.

U	ν_2	R'_U
$\{z : \lvert z\rvert < a\}$ (disk).	$d\nu_2(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{a^2}{2\pi} d\theta & \text{if } z = ae^{i\theta} \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$	$\frac{a^4}{4}$
$\{z : \lvert z - a_0\rvert < a\}$ for fixed $a_0 \in \mathbb{D}$.	$d\nu_2(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{a^2}{2\pi} d\theta & \text{if } z = a_0 + ae^{i\theta} \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$	$\frac{a^4}{4}$
$\{z : a < \lvert z\rvert < b\}$ for $0 < a < b < 1$, (annulus).	$d\nu_2'(z) = \begin{cases} \lambda(b^2 - a^2)\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} & \text{if } z = ae^{i\theta} \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$, $d\nu_2''(z) = \begin{cases} (1-\lambda)(b^2 - a^2)\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} & \text{if } z = be^{i\theta} \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$ where $\lambda = \frac{(b^2-a^2) - 2a^2\log(b/a)}{2(b^2-a^2)\log(b/a)}$ and $\nu_2 = \nu_2' + \nu_2''$.	$\frac{1}{4}(b^4 - a^4) - \frac{1}{4}\frac{(b^2-a^2)^2}{\log(b/a)}$
$\{(x,y) \mid \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} \leqslant 1\}$ (ellipse).	$d\nu_2(z) = \frac{ab}{2\pi}\left[1 - \frac{a^2-b^2}{a^2+b^2}\cos(2\theta)\right] d\theta$ when $z \in \partial U$.	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(ab)^3}{a^2+b^2}$
$\{re^{i\theta} \mid 0 \leq r < b(1 + 2a\cos\theta), 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$ (Cardioid).	$d\nu_2(z) = \frac{b^2}{2\pi}(1 + a^2 + 2a\cos\theta) d\theta$ when $z \in \partial U$.	$\frac{b^4}{2}(a^2 + 1)^2 - \frac{b^4}{4}$
Fix $a < 1$. aT where T be triangle with cube roots of unity $1, \omega, \omega^2$ as vertices.	…	$\frac{a^4}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{9\sqrt{3}}{80}$
$\{re^{i\theta} : 0 < r < a, 0 < \theta < \pi\}$, (half-disk).	…	$\frac{a^4}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{\pi^2}\right)$

For detailed calculations, see Section 2.3.2.

2.3.2 Computations for examples

In this section we calculate the balayage measure ν_2 and the constant R_U explicitly for some particular open sets U. In the first example we consider annulus with inner and outer radius a and b respectively, a particular case of second part of Remark 2.11 (for $\alpha = 2$).

Example 2.13. Fix $0 < a < b < 1$. Suppose $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : a < |z| < b\}$. Then the balayage measure is $\nu_2 = \nu_2' + \nu_2''$, where

$$d\nu_2'(z) = \begin{cases} \lambda(b^2 - a^2)\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} & \text{if } |z| = a \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

$$d\nu_2''(z) = \begin{cases} (1-\lambda)(b^2 - a^2)\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} & \text{if } |z| = b \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

and λ is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{(b^2 - a^2) - 2a^2 \log(b/a)}{2(b^2 - a^2)\log(b/a)}.$$

The constant is

$$R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4}(b^4 - a^4) - \frac{1}{4}\frac{(b^2 - a^2)^2}{\log(b/a)}.$$

Note: In particular if $a = b$, then $R_U = \frac{3}{4}$. Again if we take aspect ratio $a/b = c$, then

$$R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\left((1 - c^4) + \frac{(1-c^2)^2}{\log c}\right) b^4.$$

Note that the same expression has appeared in hole probability for infinite Ginibre ensemble (Corollary 4.3).

In the next example we consider disk of radius a contained in unit disk. Let $B(c_0, a)$ be the ball of radius a centered at c_0.

Example 2.14. For $U = B(c_0, a) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$, the equilibrium measure is $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$, where

$$d\nu_1(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} dm(z) & \text{if } z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus U \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad d\nu_2(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{a^2}{2\pi} d\theta & \text{if } |z - c_0| = a \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$$

and the constant is $R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4}a^4$.

Note that the equilibrium measure and the constant do not depend on the position of the ball. These values depend only on the radius of the ball. This follows directly from the fact that the balayage measure corresponding to uniform measure on a ball is uniform on its boundary, which follows easily from Fact 2.3. Now we consider ellipse.

Example 2.15. Fix $0 < a, b < 1$. Suppose $U = \{(x,y) | \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} < 1\}$. Then

$$d\nu_2(z) = \frac{ab}{2\pi}\left[1 - \frac{a^2-b^2}{a^2+b^2}\cos(2\theta)\right]d\theta \text{ and } R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{(ab)^3}{a^2+b^2}.$$

Computation for Example 2.15. Let $x = ar\cos\theta, y = br\sin\theta, 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi, 0 \le r \le 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\pi}\int_U w^n dm(w) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U (x+iy)^n dxdy \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^1\int_0^{2\pi} r^n(a\cos\theta + ib\sin\theta)^n abrd\theta dr \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{ab(a\cos\theta+ib\sin\theta)^n}{n+2}d\theta \\
&\quad \text{(by substituting } \alpha = \tfrac{a+b}{2}, \beta = \tfrac{a-b}{2}\text{)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{(\alpha^2-\beta^2)(\alpha e^{i\theta}+\beta e^{-i\theta})^n}{n+2}d\theta \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi}\cdot\frac{(\alpha^2-\beta^2)\alpha^{n/2}\beta^{n/2}\binom{n}{n/2}}{n+2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $d\nu_2(w) = \frac{1}{\pi}[c_0 + c_1(e^{2i\theta} + e^{-2i\theta})]d\theta$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial U} w^n d\nu_2(w) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}(\alpha e^{i\theta}+\beta e^{-i\theta})^n[c_0 + c_1(e^{2i\theta}+e^{-2i\theta})]d\theta \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi}\left[c_0\alpha^{n/2}\beta^{n/2}\binom{n}{n/2} + c_1\binom{n}{n/2-1}\alpha^{n/2}\beta^{n/2}(\frac{\alpha^2+\beta^2}{\alpha\beta})\right] & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that if we take

$$(\alpha^2-\beta^2)\frac{\binom{n}{n/2}}{n+2} = c_0\binom{n}{n/2} + c_1\binom{n}{n/2-1}\left(\frac{\alpha^2+\beta^2}{\alpha\beta}\right), \text{ for all } n \text{ even}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{(\alpha^2-\beta^2)}{2} = c_0 = -c_1\left(\frac{\alpha^2+\beta^2}{\alpha\beta}\right).$$

Therefore ν_2 satisfies (2.8) for all n and also has continuous density with respect to arclength of ∂U. Therefore, by Remark 2.8, the measure ν_2 on ∂U given by

$$d\nu_2(z) = \frac{ab}{2\pi}\left[1-\frac{a^2-b^2}{a^2+b^2}\cos(2\theta)\right]d\theta$$

is the balayage measure on ∂U and constant R_U is given by

$$R_U = \frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\partial U}|w|^2 d\nu_2(w) - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U |w|^2 dm(w)\right] = \frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{(ab)^3}{a^2+b^2}.$$

Hence the result. ■

Note that if we take $a = b$ then we get the Example 2.14. In the next example we consider cardioid.

Example 2.16. Fix $a, b > 0$ such that $U = \{re^{i\theta} | 0 \le r < b(1+2a\cos\theta), 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi\} \subseteq \mathbb{D}$. Then the balayage measure ν_2 and the constant R_U are given by

$$d\nu_2(w) = \frac{b^2}{\pi}(1+a^2+2a\cos\theta)d\theta \text{ and } R_U = \frac{3}{4}+\frac{b^4}{2}\left((a^2+1)^2-\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Note: The cardioid U can be thought of as small perturbation of disk of radius b.

Computation for Example 2.16. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\pi}\int_U w^n dm(w) &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{b(1+2a\cos\theta)} r^n e^{in\theta} r\,dr\,d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{b^{n+2}(1+2a\cos\theta)^{n+2}}{n+2}e^{in\theta}d\theta \\
&= \frac{b^{n+2}}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{(1+ae^{i\theta}+ae^{-i\theta})^{n+2}}{n+2}e^{in\theta}d\theta \\
&= \frac{b^{n+2}}{\pi(n+2)}\int_0^{2\pi}\sum_{0\le u+v\le n+2}\frac{(n+2)!}{u!v!(n+2-u-v)!}a^{u+v}e^{i(n+u-v)\theta}d\theta \\
&= \frac{b^{n+2}}{\pi(n+2)}\left(\frac{(n+2)!}{0!n!2!}a^n+\frac{(n+2)!}{1!(n+1)!0!}a^{n+2}\right).2\pi \\
&= b^{n+2}\cdot a^n(n+1+2a^2).
\end{aligned}$$

We show that the measure $d\mu(w) = \frac{b^2}{2\pi}(1+2a^2+ae^{i\theta}+ae^{-i\theta})d\theta$ satisfies the required condition (2.8) to be the balayage measure for cardioid. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial U} w^n d\mu(w) &= \frac{b^{n+2}}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}(1+ae^{i\theta}+ae^{-i\theta})^n e^{in\theta}(1+2a^2+ae^{i\theta}+ae^{-i\theta})d\theta \\ &= \frac{b^{n+2}}{2\pi}\left(a^n(1+2a^2)+a\frac{n!}{1!0!(n-1)!}a^{n-1}\right).2\pi \\ &= b^{n+2}.a^n(1+2a^2+n) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U w^n dm(w) \quad \text{for all } n.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore μ satisfies (2.8) for all n and also has continuous density with respect to arclength of ∂U. Therefore, by Remark 2.8, the balayage measure ν_2 on boundary of U is given by

$$d\nu_2(w) = \frac{b^2}{2\pi}(1+2a^2+ae^{i\theta}+ae^{-i\theta})d\theta.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\partial U}|w|^2 d\nu_2(w) - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U |w|^2 dm(w) \\ =\ & \frac{b^4}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}(1+2a\cos\theta)^2(1+2a^2+2a\cos\theta)d\theta - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi}\int_0^{b(1+2a\cos\theta)} r^3 dr d\theta \\ =\ & b^4\left((a^2+1)^2-\frac{1}{2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get the required constant R_U from (2.9). ■

In the next few examples, we could not find the balayage measure explicitly, however we calculated the constant R_U explicitly.

Example 2.17. Fix $0<a<1$. Suppose $U = aT$, where T be triangle with cube roots of unity $1, \omega, \omega^2$ as vertices. Then the constant is

$$R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{a^4}{2\pi}\cdot\frac{9\sqrt{3}}{80}.$$

Computation for Example 2.17. The region T can be written as

$$T = \{r(tw^p + (1-t)w^{p+1}) | 0 \le r < 1, 0 \le t \le 1, p = 0,1,2\}.$$

Suppose $x+iy = ar(t\omega^p + (1-t)\omega^{p+1})$. Then by change of variables, we have

$$\frac{1}{\pi}dm(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}dxdy = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a^2 r dr dt.$$

Let $d\nu_2(t)$ be the balayage measure on the boundary of triangle T. Then from (2.8), we get

$$\int_{\partial U} z^n d\nu_2(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U z^n dm(z), \text{ for all } n \geqslant 0.$$

Which implies for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^1 (t+(1-t)\omega)^n(1+\omega^n+\omega^{2n})d\nu_2(t)\\ =\ &\int_0^1\int_0^1 r^n(t+(1-t)\omega)^n(1+\omega^n+\omega^{2n})\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi}a^2 r\,dr\,dt.\end{aligned}$$

Since $1+\omega^n+\omega^{2n}=0$ when n is not multiple of 3. Therefore we get

$$\int_0^1 (t+(1-t)\omega)^{3n}d\nu_2(t) = \int_0^1 (t+(1-t)\omega)^{3n}\frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{2\pi(3n+2)}dt. \tag{2.10}$$

for all $n \geq 0$. This is the key equation to calculate the balayage measure on ∂U. Solve this equation, we can get the balayage measure on ∂U. But we could not solve this equation.

We manage to calculate the constant R_U using (2.10). By putting $n=1$ and comparing the real parts in both side of (2.10), we have

$$\int_0^1 (1-\frac{9}{2}t(1-t))d\nu_2(t) = \int_0^1 (1-\frac{9}{2}t(1-t))\frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{10\pi}dt.$$

(As real part of $(t+(1-t)\omega)^3$ is $(1-\frac{9}{2}t(1-t))$. By using the fact that $\int_0^1 d\nu_2(t) = \frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{4\pi}$ and simplifying the last equation we get

$$\int_0^1 t(1-t))d\nu_2(t) = \frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{20\pi}. \tag{2.11}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\partial U}|z|^2 d\nu_2(z) - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U |z|^2 dm(z)\\ =\ &3\left[\int_0^1 |ar(t+(1-t)\omega)|^2 d\nu_2(t) - \int_0^1\int_0^1 |ar(t+(1-t)\omega)|^2\frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{2\pi}r\,dr\,dt\right]\\ =\ &3a^2\left[\int_0^1[1-3t(1-t)]d\nu_2(t) - \frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{2\pi}\int_0^1\int_0^1[1-3t(1-t)]r^3\,dr\,dt\right]\\ =\ &3a^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{10\pi}-\frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{16\pi}\right) \qquad \text{(by (2.11))}\\ =\ &\frac{9\sqrt{3}a^4}{80\pi}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the required constant R_U from (2.9). ■

In the next example, we consider semi-disk. We only calculated the constant R_U. We were unable to find equilibrium measure.

Example 2.18. Fix $0 < a < 1$. Suppose $U = \{re^{i\theta} : 0 < r < a, 0 < \theta < \pi\}$. Then the constant is

$$R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{a^4}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{\pi^2}\right).$$

Computation for Example 2.18. Let $\nu_2 = \nu_2' + \nu_2''$ be the balayage measure. Where ν_2' is the measure on diameter of semicircle ($\{re^{i\theta} : r \le a, \theta = 0, \pi\}$) and $d\nu_2''(z) = g(\theta)d\theta$ is the measure on circular arc ($\{ae^{i\theta} : 0 \le \theta \le \pi\}$). Then from (2.8), we get

$$\int_{\partial U} z^n d\nu_2(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U z^n m(z), \text{ for all } n \geqslant 0.$$

Which implies for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\int_{-a}^{a} t^n d\nu_2'(t) + \int_0^{\pi} a^n e^{in\theta} g(\theta)d\theta = \int_0^{\pi}\int_0^{a} r^n e^{in\theta} r\,dr\frac{d\theta}{\pi}.$$

Therefore we get

$$\int_{-a}^{a} t^n d\nu_2'(t) + \int_0^{\pi} a^n e^{in\theta} g(\theta)d\theta = \begin{cases} \frac{2ia^{n+2}}{n(n+2)\pi} & n \text{ is odd} \\ o & n \text{ is even} \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

This is the key equation to calculate the balayage measure on ∂U. In principle if we solve this equation then we get the balayage measure on ∂U. But we could not solve this equation.

However, with out calculating balyage measure we are able to calculate the constant R_U using (2.12). Comparing imaginary part in both side of (2.12), we have

$$\int_0^{\pi} \sin n\theta\, g(\theta)d\theta = \begin{cases} \frac{2a^2}{n(n+2)\pi} & n \text{ is odd} \\ o & n \text{ is even} \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

Since $g(\theta)$ is defined on $[0,\pi]$, its fourier series can be made to contain only sine terms, and moreover because of its symmetry with respect to $\frac{\pi}{2}$, $g(\theta)$'s fourier series contains only odd sine terms. Therefore we get

$$g(\theta) = \frac{4a^2}{\pi^2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sin(2k-1)\theta}{4k^2-1}. \tag{2.14}$$

Using (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial U}|z|^2 d\nu_2(z) &= \int_{-a}^{a} t^2 d\nu_2'(t) + \int_0^{\pi} a^2 g(\theta)\, d\theta \\ &= a^2 \int_0^{\pi} (1-\cos 2\theta) g(\theta)\, d\theta \\ &= \frac{4a^4}{\pi^2}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(4k^2-1)}\left(\frac{2}{2k-1} - \frac{1}{2k+1} - \frac{1}{2k-3}\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{2a^4}{\pi^2}\left(\frac{3\pi^2}{8} - 2\right) \\ &= a^4\left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{4}{\pi^2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the constant

$$R_U = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\partial U}|z|^2 d\nu_2(z) - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_U |z|^2 dm(z)\right] = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{a^4}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{\pi^2}\right).$$

Hence the desired result. ■

3 Determinantal point processes with finitely many points

In this chapter we calculate the asymptotics of the hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ and $\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}$, defined in Section 1.1.3, as $n \to \infty$. Through out this section we assume that the function g satisfies all the conditions given in Section 1.1.3. First we derive few properties of the point process $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$. We show that if we scale down the points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{\alpha}$ by $n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$, then the expected empirical distributions converge to a compactly supported measure. In other words, the points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ are roughly distributed within disk of radius $n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$.

3.1 Distribution of the absolute values of points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$

In this section we derive the distribution of the absolute values of the points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$. Recall that, the vector of points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ (in uniform random order) has density

$$\frac{\alpha^n}{n!(2\pi)^n \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1))} e^{-\sum_{k=1}^{n} |z_k|^\alpha} \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2 \tag{3.1}$$

with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$. The following lemma gives the distribution of the absolute values of the points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$, generalizing of the result of Kostlan ([Kos92], Theorem 1.1), who proved this for $\alpha = 2$ (Ginibre ensemble).

Lemma 3.1. *The set of absolute values of the points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ has the same distribution as $\{R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_n\}$ where R_k are independent and $R_k^\alpha \sim Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}k, 1)$.*

From Lemma 3.1, we have the following corollary, which will be used in Chapter 4.

Corollary 3.2. *The set of absolute values of the points of $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ has the same distribution as $\{R_1, R_2, \ldots\}$, where $R_k^\alpha \sim$ Gamma$(\frac{2}{\alpha}k, 1)$ and all the R_ks are independent.*

Proof of Lemma 3.1. The Vandermonde determinant is

$$\prod_{i<j}(z_i - z_j) = \det(z_i^{j-1})_{1\le i,j\le n} = \sum_{\sigma\in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{n} z_j^{\sigma(j)-1}.$$

Set $z_k = r_k e^{i\theta}$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then the density of the set of points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$, (3.1), can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_{R_1,\ldots,R_n,\Theta_1,\ldots,\Theta_n}(r_1,\ldots,r_n,\theta_1\ldots,\theta_n)\\
=&C_n.e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n r_j^\alpha}.\left|\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(r_j e^{i\theta_j}\right)^{\sigma(j)-1}\right|^2.r_j\\
=&C_n.e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n r_j^\alpha}.\sum_{\sigma,\tau\in S_n}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\operatorname{sgn}(\tau)\prod_{j=1}^{n} r_j^{(\sigma(j)+\tau(j)-1)} e^{i\theta_j(\sigma(j)-\tau(j))}.
\end{aligned}$$

where $0 \le r_j < \infty$ and $0 \le \theta_j \le 2\pi$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, and $C_n = \frac{\alpha^n}{n!(2\pi)^n \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1))}$. Again we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\theta(\sigma(j)-\tau(j))}d\theta = \begin{cases} 2\pi & \text{if } \sigma(j) = \tau(j),\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Therefore the density of absolute values of the set of points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ is

$$f_{R_1,\ldots,R_n}(r_1,\ldots,r_n) = C_n.(2\pi)^n e^{-\sum_{k=1}^n r_j^\alpha}\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\prod_{j=1}^{n} r_j^{2\sigma(j)-1} = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\sigma(j)\right)} e^{-r_j^\alpha} r_j^{2\sigma(j)-1}.$$

By change of variables formula, the joint density of $(R_1^\alpha, R_2^\alpha, \ldots, R_n^\alpha)$ is

$$f_{R_1^\alpha,\ldots,R_n^\alpha}(r_1,\ldots,r_n) = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\sigma(j)\right)} e^{-r_j} r_j^{\frac{2}{\alpha}\sigma(j)-1}.$$

Therefore the joint density of $\{R_1^\alpha, R_2^\alpha, \ldots, R_n^\alpha\}$ is

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\sigma(j)\right)} e^{-r_j} r_j^{\frac{2}{\alpha}\sigma(j)-1}.$$

This implies that $R_1^\alpha, \ldots, R_n^\alpha$ are independent and $R_k^\alpha \sim$Gamma$(\frac{2}{\alpha}k, 1)$. Hence the result. ■

3.2 Scaling limit

In this section we prove a result analogous to the circular law. Let $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n$ be a set of points in $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$. Define the empirical measure

$$\rho_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{z_k}(\cdot) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot |\{k : z_k \in (\cdot), k = 1, \ldots, n\}|,$$

where $|A|$ denotes the number of points in A. The following theorem gives the limiting expected distribution of scaled $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$. Let $n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}.\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)} := \{n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}.z : z \in \mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}\}$, points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ are scaled by $n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$.

Theorem 3.3. *The limiting expected empirical distribution of points of $n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}.\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ is same as $\mu^{(\alpha)}$, where*

$$d\mu^{(\alpha)}(re^{i\theta}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha^2}{4\pi} r^{\alpha-1} dr d\theta & \text{if } 0 < r < (\frac{2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $\alpha = 2$ gives the limiting expected empirical distribution of scaled Ginibre converges to uniform probability measure on the unit disk. The following lemma is the key result to prove Theorem 3.3.

Lemma 3.4. *The limiting expected empirical distribution of absolute values of points of $n^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}.\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ is same as the distribution of S, where S is a positive random variable such that $S^\alpha \sim U[0, \frac{2}{\alpha}]$ (uniform random variable on $[0, \frac{2}{\alpha}]$).*

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Let $\mu_n^{(\alpha)}$ be the expected empirical distribution of the points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$. Then

$$\mu_n^{(\alpha)}(B) = \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{E}[|\{k : z_k \in B, k = 1, \ldots, n\}|] = \frac{1}{n}\int_B \mathbb{K}_n^{(\alpha)}(z,z)\, dm(z),$$

for any Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{C}$. Therefore we have

$$d\mu_n^{(\alpha)}(z) = \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{K}_n^{(\alpha)}(z,z)\, dm(z), \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Set $z = re^{i\theta}$. The density of $\mu_n^{(\alpha)}$ can be written as

$$f_{R,\Theta}(r,\theta) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi n} e^{-r^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{r^{2k+1}}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1))}, \tag{3.2}$$

for $0 < r < \infty$ and $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$. Therefore the density of the radial part is

$$f_R(r) = \frac{\alpha}{n} e^{-r^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{r^{2k+1}}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1))},$$

for $0 < r < \infty$. By change of variables formula, the density of $X_n = \frac{R^\alpha}{n}$ is

$$f_{X_n}(x) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(nx)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1))-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1))},$$

for $0 < x < \infty$. The moment generating function of X_n, for large n, is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}[e^{tX_n}] &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{(nx)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1))-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1))} \cdot e^{-(n-t)x} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{n-t} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{(\frac{n}{n-t}x)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1))-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1))} \cdot e^{-x} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{n-t} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{n}{n-t}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1)-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{\left(1-\frac{t}{n}\right)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}(n+1)} - \left(1-\frac{t}{n}\right)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}}}{\left(1-\frac{t}{n}\right)^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} - 1},
\end{aligned}$$

for $t > 0$. Therefore, for $t > 0$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{E}[e^{tX_n}] = \frac{e^{\frac{2}{\alpha}t} - 1}{\frac{2}{\alpha}t}$$

is the moment generating function of $S^\alpha = U[0, \frac{2}{\alpha}]$. The positive random variables $\frac{R^\alpha}{n}$ converges to S^α in distribution. Hence the result. ■

Proof of Theorem 3.3. The random variable S^α is uniformly distributed on $[0, \frac{2}{\alpha}]$, then the density of the random variable of S is given by

$$f_S(s) = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} s^{\alpha-1} \quad \text{for } 0 \le s \le \left(\tfrac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}.$$

Therefore the result follows from (3.2) and Lemma 3.4. ■

3.3 Hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}$

In this section we calculate asymptotics of hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}$, defined in Section 1.1.3. In particular we get the hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$. Recall that the set of points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}$ (with uniform order) has the density

$$\frac{1}{Z_n^{(g)}} \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2 e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n g(|z_k|)}, \tag{3.3}$$

with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$, where $Z_n^{(g)}$ is the normalizing constant, i.e.,

$$Z_n^{(g)} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{C}} \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2 e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n g(|z_k|)} \prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k)$$

Next two subsection we give upper bounds and lower bounds for the hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}$.

3.3.1 Upper bounds

The following theorem gives the upper bound for the hole probabilities.

Theorem 3.5. *Let U be an open subset of $D(0,T)$, where $Tg'(T) = 2$. Then*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}(U) = 0] \le -R_U^{(g)} - \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log Z_n^{(g)}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Let $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n$ be the points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}$. Then from (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_n[\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}(U) = 0] &= \frac{1}{Z_n^{(g)}} \int_{U^c} \cdots \int_{U^c} e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n g(|z_k|)} \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k) \\
&= \frac{1}{Z_n^{(g)}} \int_{U^c} \cdots \int_{U^c} \left\{ \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j| \omega(z_i)\omega(z_j) \right\}^2 \prod_{k=1}^n e^{-g(|z_k|)} dm(z_k), \quad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega(z) = e^{-\frac{g(|z|)}{2}}$. Let $z_1^*, z_2^*, \ldots, z_n^*$ be weighted Fekete points for U^c with weight $\omega(z)$. Therefore we have

$$\delta_n^{\omega}(U^c) = \left\{ \prod_{i<j} |z_i^* - z_j^*| \omega(z_i^*)\omega(z_j^*) \right\}^{\frac{2}{n(n-1)}}.$$

Therefore from (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}(U)=0] &\leq \frac{1}{Z_n^{(g)}}(\delta_n^{\omega}(U^c))^{n(n-1)}\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\int_{U^c}e^{-g(|z_k|)}dm(z_k)\right)\\ &\leq \frac{1}{Z_n^{(g)}}.a^n.(\delta_n^{\omega}(U^c))^{n(n-1)},\end{aligned}$$

where $a=\int_{U^c}e^{-g(|z|)}dm(z)$. The fourth condition on g, in Section 1.1.3, implies that a is finite. Hence

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}(U)=0]\leq\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log(\delta_n^{\omega}(U^c))^{n(n-1)}-\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log Z_n^{(g)}.$$

Therefore by (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\mathbf{P}_n[\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}(U)=0] &\leq -\inf_{\mu\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{C}\setminus U)}R_{\mu}^{(g)}-\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log Z_n^{(g)}\\ &= -R_U^{(g)}-\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log Z_n^{(g)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the upper bound. ■

Note that, by the same arguments it can be shown that

$$Z_n^{(g)}\leq a^n(\delta_n^{\omega}(\mathbb{C}))^{n(n-1)},$$

where $a=\int_{\mathbb{C}}e^{-g(|z|)}dm(z)$. Therefore by (2.3), we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log Z_n^{(g)}\leq-\inf_{\mu\in\mathscr{P}(\mathbb{C})}R_{\mu}^{(g)}=-R_{\emptyset}^{(g)}. \tag{3.5}$$

3.3.2 Lower bounds

In this section we give the lower bounds of hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}$ for two class of open sets U. Let $\mu_n:=\mu\big|_{U_n}$, restriction of μ (as in Theorem 2.4) on U_n.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $U\subset D(0,T)$ be an open set, where $Tg'(T)=2$, such that there exists a sequence of open sets U_n such that $\overline{U}\subset U_n\subseteq D(0,T)$ with $U_{n+1}\subseteq U_n$ for all n and $\bigcap U_n=\overline{U}$ and the balayage measure ν_n on ∂U_n converges weakly to the balayage measure ν on ∂U with respect to μ_n. Then*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}(U)=0]\geq-R_U^{(g)}-\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log Z_n^{(g)}.$$

Remark 3.7. Examples of such open sets:

1. It follows from Example 2.9 and Example 2.10 that disk and annulus satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.6.

2. If $g(t) = t^\alpha$. Then convex open sets in $D(0, (\frac{2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})$, which do not intersect unit circle, satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.6. More generally note that if U is an open set containing origin such that $\overline{U} \subset aU$ for all $a > 1$, then the balayage measure ν_a on $\partial(aU)$ is given in terms of the balayage measure ν on ∂U as $\nu_a(B) = \frac{1}{a^\alpha}\nu(\frac{1}{a}B)$ for any measurable set $B \subset \mathbb{C}$. Therefore ν_a converges weakly to ν as $a \to 1$.

3. In particular for $\alpha = 2$, the translations of the above sets also satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.6. So all the examples we considered in Section 2.3.1 satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.6.

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.6.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $U \subset D(0,T)$ be an open set, where $Tg'(T) = 2$. Then*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}(U) = 0] \geq -\inf_{\mu\in\mathscr{A}} R_\mu^{(g)} - \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log Z_n^{(g)},$$

where $\mathscr{A} = \{\mu \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{C}) : dist(Supp(\mu), \overline{U}) > 0\}$.

Assuming the lemma we proceed to prove Theorem 3.6.

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Let $U, U_1, U_2, \ldots$ be open subsets of $D(0,T)$ satisfying conditions of Theorem 3.6. By Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}(U) = 0] &\geq -\inf_{\mu\in\mathscr{A}} R_\mu^{(g)} - \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log Z_n^{(g)}, \\ &\geq -\inf_{\mu\in\mathscr{A}_m} R_\mu^{(g)} - \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log Z_n^{(g)},\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathscr{A} = \{\mu \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{C}) : \text{dist}(\text{Supp}(\mu), \overline{U}) > 0\}$, $\mathscr{A}_m = \{\mu \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{C}) : \mu(U_m) = 0\}$. The last inequality follows from the facts that $\overline{U} \subset U_m$ and $\mathscr{A}_m \subset \mathscr{A}$. Again from Theorem 2.6 we have

$$R_{U_m}^{(g)} = R_\emptyset^{(g)} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\int_{\partial U_m} g(|z|)\, d\nu_m(z) - \int_{U_m} g(|z|)\, d\mu_m(z)\right],$$

where ν_m is the balayage on ∂U_m with respect to the measure μ_m, restriction of μ (as in Theorem 2.4) on U_m. Since U_m are monotone and converge to U, $\mu\big|_{U_m}$ converge weakly to $\mu\big|_U$. Again the balayage measures ν_m converge weakly to the balayage measure ν, therefore $R^{(g)}_{U_m}$ converges to $R^{(g)}_U$ as $m \to \infty$. Therefore we have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_n(U) = 0] \geq -R^{(g)}_U - \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log Z^{(g)}_n.$$

Hence the result. ■

It remains to prove Lemma 3.8.

Proof of Lemma 3.8. From (3.3), the density of the set of points of $\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_n(U) = 0] &= \frac{1}{Z^{(g)}_n} \int_{U^c} \cdots \int_{U^c} e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n g(|z_k|)} \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{Z^{(g)}_n} \int_{U^c} \cdots \int_{U^c} e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n g(|z_k|)} \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{f(z_k)}{M} dm(z_k),
\end{aligned}$$

where f is a compactly supported probability density function with support in U^c and uniformly bounded by M. Applying logarithm on both sides we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_n(U) = 0] \\
\geq\ & -\log(Z^{(g)}_n . M^n) + \log\left(\int_{U^c} \cdots \int_{U^c} e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n g(|z_k|)} \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n f(z_k) dm(z_k) \right) \\
\geq\ & -\log(Z^{(g)}_n . M^n) + \int_{U^c} \cdots \int_{U^c} \log\left(e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n g(|z_k|)} \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2 \right) \prod_{k=1}^n f(z_k) dm(z_k) \\
=\ & -\log(Z^{(g)}_n . M^n) + n(n-1) \int_{U^c} \int_{U^c} (\log|z_1 - z_2| - \frac{n}{n-1} g(|z_1|)) \prod_{k=1}^2 f(z_k) dm(z_k),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from Jensen's inequality. Therefore by taking limits on both sides, we have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_n(U) = 0] \geq -\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log Z^{(g)}_n - R^{(g)}_\mu \tag{3.6}$$

for any probability measure μ with density bounded and compactly supported on U^c.

Let μ be probability measure with density f compactly supported on U^c. Consider the sequence of measures with bounded densities

$$d\mu_M(z) = \frac{f_M(z)dm(z)}{\int f_M(w)dm(w)},$$

where $f_M(z) = \min\{f(z), M\}$. From monotone convergence theorem for positive and negative parts of logarithm, it follows (as positive part of logarithm is bounded) that

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\int_{U^c}\int_{U^c}\log|z_1-z_2|\prod_{i=1}^{2}f_M(z_i)dm(z_i) = \int_{U^c}\int_{U^c}\log|z_1-z_2|\prod_{i=1}^{2}f(z_i)dm(z_i).$$

From monotone convergence theorem, it follows that $\lim_{M\to\infty}\int f_M(w)dm(w) = 1$ and since g is continuous function, $\lim_{M\to\infty}\int g(|z|)f_M(z)dm(w) = \int g(|z|)f(z)dm(w)$. Therefore

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} R^{(g)}_{\mu_M} = R^{(g)}_{\mu}.$$

So (3.6) is true for any measure with density compactly supported on U^c.

Let μ be a probability measure with compact support at a distance of at least δ from U. Then the convolution $\mu * \sigma_\epsilon$, where σ_ϵ is uniform probability measure on disk of radius ϵ around origin, has density compactly supported in U^c, if ϵ is less than δ. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\mu*\sigma_\epsilon} &= \iint \log|z-w|d(\mu*\sigma_\epsilon)(z)d(\mu*\sigma_\epsilon)(w)\\
&= \iint\iint\iint \log|z+\epsilon r_1 e^{i\theta_1} - w - \epsilon r_2 e^{i\theta_2}|\frac{r_1 dr_1 d\theta_1}{\pi}\frac{r_2 dr_2 d\theta_2}{\pi}d\mu(z)d\mu(w)\\
&\quad \text{(limits of } r_1, r_2 \text{ are from 0 to 1 and } \theta_1, \theta_2 \text{ are from 0 to } 2\pi\text{)}\\
&\geq \iint \log|z-w|d\mu(z)d\mu(w),
\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from the repeated application of the mean value property of the subharmonic function $\log|z|$. And also we have

$$I_{\mu*\sigma_\epsilon} \leq \iint \log[|z-w|+2\epsilon]d\mu(z)d\mu(w).$$

Therefore, $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} I_{\mu*\sigma_\epsilon} = I_\mu$ and hence $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} R^{(g)}_{\mu*\sigma_\epsilon} = R^{(g)}_\mu$.

So, (3.6) is true for any probability measure with compact support whose distance from U is positive. Hence the required lower bound. ■

Note that by the same arguments it can be shown that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log Z_n^{(g)} \geq -R_\mu^{(g)}, \tag{3.7}$$

for all compactly supported probability measure μ on $\mathbb{C}$.

Corollary 3.9. *Let $Z_n^{(g)}$ be the normalizing constant, as in (3.3). Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log Z_n^{(g)} = -R_\emptyset^{(g)},$$

where $\emptyset$ denotes the empty set.

Proof of Corollary 3.9. The proof is directly follows from (3.5) and (3.7). ■

The second class of sets U we consider satisfy the exterior ball condition, i.e., there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for every $z \in \partial U$ there exists a $\eta \in U^c$ such that

$$U^c \supset B(\eta, \epsilon) \text{ and } |z - \eta| = \epsilon. \tag{3.8}$$

Note that all convex domains satisfy the condition (3.8) wth any $\epsilon > 0$. Annulus is not a convex domain but it satisfies the condition (3.8). The following theorem gives hole probabilities for such open sets.

Theorem 3.10. *Let g' be bounded in $[0, T+1]$ and $U \subseteq D(0,T)$ be an open set satisfying condition (3.8). Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}(U) = 0] \geq -R_U^{(g)} - \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log Z_n^{(g)}.$$

Note that $g(r) = r^\alpha$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 3.10 for $\alpha \geq 1$, not for $\alpha < 1$. The above theorem does not include the cases of the cardioid or sectors with an obtuse angle at center. Theorem 3.6 takes care of these and all the other sets U which can contain scaled-down copies of themselves. But Theorem 3.6, unlike Theorem 3.10, requires the boundary of U to not intersect the boundary of $D(0,T)$. The proof of Theorem 3.10 makes use of Fekete points, whereas that of Theorem 3.6 makes use of the balayage measure. The following lemma, which is used in the proof of Theorem 3.10, provides separation between weighted Fekete points. This is not tightest separation result but suffices for our purpose. The separation of Fekete points has been studied by many authors, e.g., see [AOC12], [BLW08] and references therein.

Lemma 3.11. *Let g' be bounded in $[0,T+1]$ and $U \subseteq D(0,T)$ be an open set satisfying condition (3.8). If $z_1^*, z_2^*, \ldots, z_n^*$ are the weighted Fekete points for U^c with weight $\omega(z) = e^{-\frac{g(|z|)}{2}}$, then for large n,*

$$\min\{|z_i^* - z_k^*| : 1 \le i \ne k \le n\} \ge C.\frac{1}{n^3}$$

for some constant C (does not depend on n).

Assuming Lemma 3.11 we proceed to prove Theorem 3.10.

Proof of Theorem 3.10. Let $z_1^*, z_2^*, \ldots, z_n^*$ be weighted Fekete points for U^c with the weight function $\omega(z) = e^{-\frac{g(|z|)}{2}}$. Since the support of the Fekete points is contained in support of equilibrium measure (see [ST97], Chapter III Theorem 2.8), it follows that $|z_\ell^*| \le T$ for $\ell = 1,2,\ldots,n$. Let $B_\ell = U^c \cap B(z_\ell^*, \frac{C}{n^4})$ for $\ell = 1,2,\ldots,n$. Then, for large n, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}(U) = 0] &= \frac{1}{Z_n^{(g)}} \int_{U^c} \ldots \int_{U^c} e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n g(|z_k|)} \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k) \\
&\ge \frac{1}{Z_n^{(g)}} \int_{B_1} \ldots \int_{B_n} \left\{ \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j| \omega(z_i)\omega(z_j) \right\}^2 \prod_{k=1}^n e^{-g(|z_k|)} dm(z_k), \\
&\ge \frac{e^{-g(T+1)n}}{Z_n^{(g)}} \int_{B_1} \ldots \int_{B_n} \left\{ \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j| \omega(z_i)\omega(z_j) \right\}^2 \prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.11, for large n, we have $|z_i^* - z_j^*| \ge \frac{C}{n^3}$ for $i \ne j$, for some constant C independent of n. Suppose $z_i \in B(z_i^*, \frac{C}{n^4})$ and $z_j \in B(z_j^*, \frac{C}{n^4})$ for $i \ne j$, then for large n

$$|z_i - z_j| \ge |z_i^* - z_j^*| - \frac{2C}{n^4} \ge |z_i^* - z_j^*| - \frac{2}{n} \cdot |z_i^* - z_j^*| \ge |z_i^* - z_j^*| \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right).$$

Therefore we have

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}(U) = 0] \ge \frac{e^{-g(T+1)n}}{Z_n^{(g)}} \int_{B_1} \ldots \int_{B_n} \left\{ \prod_{i<j} |z_i^* - z_j^*| \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) \omega(z_i)\omega(z_j) \right\}^2 \prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k)$$

Since g' is bounded on $[0,T+1]$, there exists a constant K such that $|g(|z|) - g(|w|)| \le K.|z-w|$ for all $z, w \in D(0,T+1)$. Therefore for large n,

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}g(|z_i|)} \ge e^{-\frac{1}{2}g(|z_i^*|)}.e^{-\frac{C'}{n^4}},$$

for $z_i \in B(z_i^*, \frac{C}{n^4}), i = 1,2,\ldots,n$, where $C' = C.K/2$. Hence for large n, we have

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}(U) = 0] \geq \frac{e^{-g(T+1)n}}{Z_n^{(g)}} \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)^{n(n-1)} .e^{-\frac{C'}{n^2}} . \left\{ \prod_{i<j} |z_i^* - z_j^*| \omega(z_i^*)\omega(z_j^*) \right\}^2 \prod_{k=1}^{n} \int_{B_k} dm(z_k)$$

For large n, we have $\int_{B_i} dm(z_i) \geq \pi.(\frac{C}{2n^4})^2, i = 1,2,\ldots,n$ (condition (3.8) implies that B_i contains atleast a ball of radius $\frac{C}{2n^4}$). Hence we have

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}(U) = 0] \geq \frac{e^{-g(T+1)n}}{Z_n^{(g)}} \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)^{n(n-1)} .e^{-\frac{C'}{n^2}} .(\delta_n^{\omega}(U^c))^{n(n-1)} . \left(\pi . \left(\frac{C}{2n^4}\right)^2\right)^n .$$

Therefore by (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}(U) = 0] &\geq - \inf_{\mu \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{C}\setminus U)} R_{\mu}^{(g)} - \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log Z_n^{(g)} \\ &= -R_U^{(g)} - \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \log Z_n^{(g)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result. ■

It remains to prove Lemma 3.11.

Proof of Lemma 3.11. Let $P(z) = (z - z_2^*)\cdots(z - z_n^*)$. Now we show that

$$\min\{|z_1^* - z_k^*| : 2 \leq k \leq n\} \geq C.\frac{1}{n^3}$$

for some constant C. Suppose $|z_1^* - z_2^*| \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$. By Cauchy integral formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} |P(z_1^*)| &= |P(z_1^*) - P(z_2^*)| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta - z_1^*| = \frac{2}{n^2}} \frac{P(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z_1^*)} d\zeta - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta - z_1^*| = \frac{2}{n^2}} \frac{P(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z_2^*)} d\zeta \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\zeta - z_1^*| = \frac{2}{n^2}} \frac{|P(\zeta)||z_1^* - z_2^*|}{|\zeta - z_1^*||\zeta - z_2^*|} |d\zeta| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} .|P(\zeta^*)|. \frac{n^2}{2} .n^2 .|z_1^* - z_2^*| .2\pi . \frac{2}{n^2}, \quad (\text{as } |\zeta - z_2^*| \geq \tfrac{1}{n^2}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta^* \in \{\zeta : |\zeta - z_1^*| = \frac{2}{n^2}\}$ such that $P(\zeta^*) = \sup\{|P(\zeta)| : |z_1^* - \zeta| = \frac{2}{n^2}\}$. Therefore we have

$$|P(z_1^*)| \leq n^2 .|z_1^* - z_2^*| .|P(\zeta^*)|. \tag{3.9}$$

Since g' is bounded on $[0,T]$, there exists a constant K such that $|g(|z|)-g(|w|)| \leq K.|z-w|$ for all $z,w \in D(0,T)$. Therefore, if $z,w \in D(0,T)$ and $|z-w| \leq \frac{2}{n}$, then

$$e^{-(n-1)\frac{g(|z|)}{2}} \leq C_1.e^{-(n-1)\frac{g(|w|)}{2}}, \tag{3.10}$$

where C_1 is a constant. Indeed, if $z,w \in D(0,T)$ and $|z-w| \leq \frac{2}{n}$, we have

$$e^{-\frac{(n-1)}{2}(g(|z|)-g(|w|))} \leq e^{\frac{(n-1)}{2}K.|z-w|} \leq e^{\frac{(n-1)}{2}.K.\frac{2}{n}} = e^K.$$

Case I: Suppose $\zeta^* \in U^c$. Since $z_1^*, z_2^*, \ldots, z_n^*$ are the Fekete points for U^c with the weight function $\omega(z) = e^{-\frac{g(|z|)}{2}}$, then

$$|P(\zeta^*)|.e^{-(n-1)\frac{g(|\zeta^*|)}{2}} \leq |P(z_1^*)|.e^{-(n-1)\frac{g(|z_1^*|)}{2}}.$$

Then from (3.9) and (3.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |P(z_1^*)|e^{-(n-1)\frac{g(|z_1^*|)}{2}} &\leq n^2.|z_1^*-z_2^*|.|P(\zeta^*)|.C_1.e^{-(n-1)\frac{g(|\zeta^*|)}{2}} \\ &\leq C_1.n^2.|z_1^*-z_2^*|.|P(z_1^*)|.e^{-(n-1)\frac{g(|z_1^*|)}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

And hence we get

$$|z_1^*-z_2^*| \geq \frac{1}{C_1.n^2}.$$

Case II: Suppose $\zeta^* \in U$. Therefore $\operatorname{dist}(z_1^*, \partial U) = \inf\{|z-z_1^*| : z \in \partial U\} < \frac{2}{n^2}$. Choose large n such that $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$. From the given condition (3.8) on U, we can choose $\eta \in U^c$ such that $z_1^* \in \overline{B}(\eta, \frac{1}{n}) \subseteq U^c$. By taking the power series expansion of P around η and by triangle inequality, we get

$$|P(\zeta^*)| \leq |P(\eta)| + |\zeta^*-\eta|.\left|\frac{P^{(1)}(\eta)}{1!}\right| + \cdots + |\zeta^*-\eta|^{(n-1)}.\left|\frac{P^{(n-1)}(\eta)}{(n-1)!}\right|, \tag{3.11}$$

where $P^{(r)}(\cdot)$ denotes the r-th derivative of P. From the Cauchy integral formula we have

$$\left|\frac{P^{(r)}(\eta)}{r!}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{|z-\eta|=\frac{1}{n}} \frac{|P(z)|}{|z-\eta|^{r+1}}|dz| \leq |P(\eta^*)|.n^r,$$

where $\eta^* \in \{z : |z-\eta| = \frac{1}{n}\}$ such that $P(\eta^*) = \sup\{|P(z)| : |z-\eta| = \frac{1}{n}\}$. Note that $|\zeta^*-\eta| \leq |\zeta^*-z_1^*| + |z_1^*-\eta| \leq \frac{2}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n}$, therefore we have

$$|\zeta^*-\eta|^r.\frac{|P^{(r)}(\eta)|}{r!} \leq \left(1+\frac{2}{n}\right)^r.|P(\eta^*)| \leq e^2.|P(\eta^*)|,$$

for $r = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. Therefore from (3.11) we get

$$|P(\zeta^*)| \leq |P(\eta)| + e^2.n.|P(\eta^*)|.$$

And hence from (3.9) and (3.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |P(z_1^*)|e^{-(n-1)\frac{g(|z_1^*|)}{2}} \\ \leq \; & n^2.|z_1^* - z_2^*|.C_1.\left(|P(\eta)|e^{-(n-1)\frac{g(|\eta|)}{2}} + n.e^2.|P(\eta^*)|e^{-(n-1)\frac{g(|\eta^*|)}{2}}\right) \\ \leq \; & n^2.|z_1^* - z_2^*|.C_1.\left(1 + n.e^2\right)|P(z_1^*)|e^{-(n-1)\frac{g(|z_1^*|)}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $z_1^*, z_2^*, \ldots, z_n^*$ are the Fekete points for U^c with weight $e^{-(n-1)\frac{g(|z|)}{2}}$ and $\eta, \eta^* \in U^c$. Therefore we get

$$|z_1^* - z_2^*| \geq \frac{1}{2.C_1.e^2 n^3}.$$

By Case I and Case II we get that if $|z_1^* - z_2^*| \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$, then $|z_1^* - z_2^*| \geq \frac{1}{2.C_1.e^2 n^3}$. Similarly, if $|z_1^* - z_k^*| \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$ for $k = 2, 3, \ldots, n$, then $|z_1^* - z_k^*| \geq \frac{1}{2.C_1.e^2 n^3}$. Therefore we have

$$\min\{|z_1^* - z_k^*| : k = 2, 3, \ldots, n\} \geq \frac{1}{2.C_1.e^2 n^3}.$$

Similarly it can be shown that $|z_\ell^* - z_k^*| \geq \frac{1}{2.C_1.e^2 n^3}$ for all $1 \leq \ell \neq k \leq n$ and hence

$$\min\{|z_\ell^* - z_k^*| : 1 \leq \ell \neq k \leq n\} \geq \frac{1}{2.C_1.e^2 n^3}.$$

Hence the result. ■

3.4 Hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$

In this section we derive the hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ from Theorem 3.5, Theorem 3.6, Theorem 3.10 and Corollary 3.9. Recall, the notations:

$$R_U^{(\alpha)} := \inf_{\mu \in \mathscr{P}(U^c)} R_\mu^{(\alpha)}, \quad \text{where } R_\mu^{(\alpha)} = \iint \log \tfrac{1}{|z-w|} d\mu(z) d\mu(w) + \int |z|^\alpha d\mu(z).$$

Note that $R_U^{(\alpha)}$ is a abuse of the notation $R_U^{(g)}$. The first result is analogous to Theorem 3.6.

Theorem 3.12. *Let U be an open subset of $D(0,(\frac{2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.6. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}(n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}U)=0]=R_{\emptyset}^{(\alpha)}-R_U^{(\alpha)},$$

for all $\alpha>0$.

Proof of Theorem 3.12. The density of the points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}(n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}U)=0]\\ =&\frac{\alpha^n}{n!(2\pi)^n\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1))}\int_{(n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}U)^c}\cdots\int_{(n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}U)^c}e^{-\sum_{k=1}^n|z_k|^\alpha}\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|^2\prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k)\\ =&\frac{1}{Z_n^{(\alpha)}}\int_{U^c}\cdots\int_{U^c}e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n|z_k|^\alpha}\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|^2\prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k)\end{aligned}$$

where the normalizing constant

$$Z_n^{(\alpha)}=\int_{\mathbb{C}}\cdots\int_{\mathbb{C}}e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n|z_k|^\alpha}\prod_{i<j}|z_i-z_j|^2\prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k)=\left(\frac{n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}\cdot n(n+1)}\alpha^n}{n!(2\pi)^n\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1))}\right)^{-1}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}(n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}U)=0]=\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}(U)=0],\tag{3.12}$$

with $g(t)=t^\alpha$. The function $g(t)=t^\alpha$ gives $T=(\frac{2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$, the solution of $tg'(t)=2$. Again U satisfies the condition of Theorem 3.6. Therefore by Theorem 3.5, Theorem 3.6 and Corollary 3.9, we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}(n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}U)=0]=R_{\emptyset}^{(\alpha)}-R_U^{(\alpha)},$$

for all $\alpha>0$. ∎

Next result is analogous to Theorem 3.10.

Theorem 3.13. *Let U be an open subset of $D(0,(\frac{2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})$ satisfying the condition (3.8). Then for all $\alpha\geq 1$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}(n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}U)=0]=R_{\emptyset}^{(\alpha)}-R_U^{(\alpha)}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.13. From (3.12), we have

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}(n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}U)=0]=\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(g)}(U)=0],$$

with $g(t)=t^\alpha$. Since $g(t)=t^\alpha$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 3.10 when $\alpha\geq 1$ and U satisfies the condition (3.8). Therefore by Theorem 3.5, Theorem 3.10 and Corollary 3.9, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}(n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}U)=0]=R_\emptyset^{(\alpha)}-R_U^{(\alpha)},$$

for all $\alpha\geq 1$. ■

As a corollary of Theorem 3.12 and Theorem 3.13 we get asymptotics of the hole probabilities for finite Ginibre ensemble $\mathscr{X}_n^{(2)}$, proved in [AR16].

Corollary 3.14. *Let U be a open subset of $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.6 or (3.8). Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(2)}(\sqrt{n}U)=0]=R_\emptyset^{(2)}-R_U^{(2)}.$$

4 Determinantal point processes with infinitely many points

In this chapter we calculate the hole probabilities for a family of determinantal point processes, $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ for $\alpha > 0$, in the complex plane with infinitely many points. In particular, $\alpha = 2$ gives the hole probabilities for infinite Ginibre ensemble, proved in [AR16].

Recall that for fixed $\alpha > 0$, $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ is a determinatal point process in the complex plane with the kernel $\mathbb{K}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(z,w) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} E_{\frac{2}{\alpha},\frac{2}{\alpha}}(z\overline{w}) e^{-\frac{|z|^\alpha}{2} - \frac{|w|^\alpha}{2}}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on the complex plane, where $E_{a,b}(z)$ denotes the Mittag-Leffler function (for the details of Mittag-Leffler function see [HMS11] and references therein), an entire function when $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, defined by

$$E_{a,b}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(ak+b)}.$$

Note that, for $\alpha = 2$, $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(2)}$ is the infinite Ginibre ensemble. First we calculate the asymptotics of the hole probabilities for the scaled unit disk and scaled annulus, using Corollary 3.2, as the scaling factor goes to infinity. Then we calculate the asymptotics of the hole probabilities for general scaled open sets, using potential theory techniques.

4.1 Hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ in circular domains

In this section we calculate the asymptotics of the hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ in circular domains, e.g., disk and annulus. The following result gives the asymptotic of the hole probability in scaled unit disk.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $r\mathbb{D} := \{rz \mid z \in \mathbb{D}\}$, where $\mathbb{D}$ is open unit disk. Then we have*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(r\mathbb{D}) = 0] = -\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{4}.$$

In particular for $\alpha = 2$, we get the hole probability (see [HKPV09], Proposition 7.2.1) for the infinite Ginibre ensemble,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^{4}} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(2)}(r\mathbb{D}) = 0] = -\frac{1}{4}.$$

Proof of the Theorem 4.1. Corollary 3.2 implies that

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(r\mathbb{D}) = 0] = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha > r^\alpha],$$

where $R_k^\alpha \sim$Gamma$(\frac{2}{\alpha}k, 1)$.

Upper bound: For $\theta < 1$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha > r^\alpha] \le e^{-\theta r^\alpha}\mathbf{E}[e^{\theta R_k^\alpha}] = e^{-\theta r^\alpha}(1-\theta)^{-\frac{2k}{\alpha}}.$$

For $k < \frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha$, the bound is optimized for $\theta = 1 - \frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}$ and

$$\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha > r^\alpha] \le e^{-\left\{(1-\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha})r^\alpha + \frac{2k}{\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right\}}. \tag{4.1}$$

Therefore (we write as if $\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha$ is an integer, it does not make any difference in asymptotics as $r\to\infty$) we have

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(r\mathbb{D}) = 0] \le \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha} \mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha > r^\alpha] \quad \le \quad \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha} e^{-\left\{(1-\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha})r^\alpha + \frac{2k}{\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right\}}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(r\mathbb{D}) = 0] &\le -\liminf_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}} \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha} \left\{\left(1-\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right)r^\alpha + \frac{2k}{\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right\} \\
&= -\frac{\alpha}{2}\liminf_{r\to\infty} \frac{2}{\alpha r^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha} \left\{\left(1-\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right) + \frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right\} \\
&= -\frac{\alpha}{2}\int_0^1 (1-x+x\log x)\,dx \\
&= -\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lower bound: We have

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(r\mathbb{D})=0]=\prod_{k=1}^{\log r}\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]\prod_{k=\log r+1}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha}\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]\prod_{k=\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha+1}^{\alpha r^\alpha}\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]\prod_{k=\alpha r^\alpha+1}^{\infty}\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]. \tag{4.2}$$

Since $R_k^\alpha\sim\text{Gamma}(\frac{2}{\alpha}k,1)$, we can write $R_k^\alpha\overset{d}{=}X_1+X_2+\cdots+X_k$, where $X_1,X_2,\ldots,X_k$ are i.i.d. and Gamma$(\frac{2}{\alpha},1)$ distributed. Therefore we have

$$\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]\geq\mathbf{P}[X_1>r^\alpha]\geq\frac{1}{\Gamma(2/\alpha)}e^{-r^\alpha}r^{2-\alpha}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\prod_{k=1}^{\log r}\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]\geq 0. \tag{4.3}$$

The Stirling's formula for Gamma function implies that $\log\Gamma(t+1)\leq\log(\sqrt{2\pi t})+t\log t-t+1$ for large t. If $\log r\leq k\leq\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha$, then for large r

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha] &\geq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}k)}e^{-r^\alpha}r^{\alpha(\frac{2}{\alpha}k-1)}\\
&\geq e^{-\{r^\alpha-(\frac{2}{\alpha}k-1)\log r^\alpha+\log\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}k+1)\}}\\
&\geq e^{-\{r^\alpha-\frac{2}{\alpha}k\log r^\alpha+\log r^\alpha+\log(\sqrt{2\pi.\frac{2}{\alpha}k})+\frac{2}{\alpha}k\log(\frac{2}{\alpha}k)-\frac{2}{\alpha}k+1\}}\\
&\geq e^{-\{r^\alpha+\log r^\alpha+\log(\sqrt{2\pi.r^\alpha})+\frac{2}{\alpha}k\log(\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha})-\frac{2}{\alpha}k+1\}}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\prod_{k=\log r}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha}\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]\\
\geq\ &-\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\sum_{k=\log r}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha}\left\{r^\alpha+\log r^\alpha+\log(\sqrt{2\pi.r^\alpha})+\frac{2}{\alpha}k\log\left(\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right)-\frac{2}{\alpha}k+1\right\}\\
=\ &-\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{2}{\alpha r^\alpha}\sum_{k=\log r}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha}\left\{1+\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\log\left(\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right)-\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right\}\\
=\ &-\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\int_0^1(1+x\log x-x)\,dx\\
=\ &-\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

For $\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha\leq k\leq\alpha r^\alpha$, we have $\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]\geq\frac{1}{4}$ for large enough r. Indeed, by central

limit theorem,

$$\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha > r^\alpha] \geq \mathbf{P}\left[\frac{R_k^\alpha - \frac{2}{\alpha}k}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}k}} > \frac{r^\alpha - \frac{2}{\alpha}k}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}k}}\right] \geq \mathbf{P}\left[\frac{R_k^\alpha - \frac{2}{\alpha}k}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}k}} > 0\right] \to \frac{1}{2},$$

as $k \to \infty$. Therefore we have

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}} \log \prod_{k=\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha}^{\alpha r^\alpha} \mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha > r^\alpha] \geq 0. \tag{4.5}$$

By the large deviation principle (Cramer's bound) for Gamma$(\frac{2}{\alpha}, 1)$ random variable, for $k > \alpha r^\alpha$, we get

$$\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha \leq r^\alpha] \leq \mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha \leq \frac{k}{\alpha}] \leq e^{-ck},$$

for a positive constant c independent of k, as $R_k^\alpha \stackrel{d}{=} X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_k$ and $\mathbf{E}X_1 = \frac{2}{\alpha}$ (where $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ are i.i.d. Gamma$(\frac{2}{\alpha}, 1)$ distributed). Therefore for large r

$$\prod_{k=\alpha r^\alpha+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha > r^\alpha] \geq C, \tag{4.6}$$

where C is positive constant. By (4.3), (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6) from (4.17) we have,

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(r\mathbb{D}) = 0] \geq -\frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4},$$

the lower bound. Hence the result. ■

The next result gives the asymptotic of the hole probability for annulus.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $U_c = \{z \mid c < |z| < 1\}$ for fixed $0 < c < 1$. Then*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU_c) = 0] = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{c^{2\alpha}}{4} + \frac{(1-c^\alpha)^2}{2\alpha \log c}\right).$$

As a corollary of this result, for $\alpha = 2$, we get asymptotic of the hole probability for infinite Ginibre ensemble, proved in [AR16].

Corollary 4.3. *Let $U_c = \{z \mid c < |z| < 1\}$ for fixed $0 < c < 1$. Then*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(2)}(rU_c) = 0] = -\frac{(1-c^2)}{4} \cdot \left(1 + c^2 + \frac{1-c^2}{\log c}\right).$$

Proof of Theorem 4.2. From Corollary 3.2, we have

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU_c)=0]=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha<c^\alpha r^\alpha]+\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]\right)\le\prod_{k=\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot c^\alpha r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot r^\alpha}\left(\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha<c^\alpha r^\alpha]+\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]\right). \tag{4.7}$$

(We consider those k for which $c^\alpha r^\alpha\le\mathbf{E}R_k^\alpha=\frac{2k}{\alpha}\le r^\alpha$). Since the function $e^{-t}t^a$ is increasing when $t\le a$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha<c^\alpha r^\alpha]=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}k)}\int_0^{c^\alpha r^\alpha}e^{-x}x^{\frac{2}{\alpha}k-1}dx\le\frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}k)}e^{-c^\alpha r^\alpha}(c^\alpha r^\alpha)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}k},$$

for all $k\ge\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot c^\alpha r^\alpha$. The Stirling's formula for Gamma function implies that $\log\Gamma(t+1)\ge\log(\sqrt{2\pi t})+t\log t-t-1\ge t\log t-t$ for large t. Therefore for $c^\alpha r^\alpha<\frac{2}{\alpha}k\le r^\alpha$ and for large r,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha<c^\alpha r^\alpha]&\le\frac{2k}{\alpha}e^{-c^\alpha r^\alpha+\frac{2k}{\alpha}\log c^\alpha r^\alpha-\log\Gamma(\frac{2k}{\alpha}+1)}\\&\le r^\alpha e^{-\left\{c^\alpha r^\alpha-\frac{2k}{\alpha}+\frac{2k}{\alpha}\log(\frac{2k}{\alpha.c^\alpha r^\alpha})\right\}}.\end{aligned}$$

From (4.1), for $k\le\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]\le e^{-\left\{r^\alpha-\frac{2k}{\alpha}+\frac{2k}{\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right\}}.$$

Note that if $k\le\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\lambda r^\alpha$, where $\lambda=\frac{1-c^\alpha}{-\alpha\log c}$, then we have

$$c^\alpha r^\alpha-\frac{2k}{\alpha}+\frac{2k}{\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha.c^\alpha r^\alpha}\le r^\alpha-\frac{2k}{\alpha}+\frac{2k}{\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}.$$

Therefore, for large r, for $\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot c^\alpha r^\alpha\le k\le\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\lambda r^\alpha$,

$$\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha<c^\alpha r^\alpha]+\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]\le(r^\alpha\log(c^\alpha r^\alpha)+1)e^{-\left\{c^\alpha r^\alpha-\frac{2k}{\alpha}+\frac{2k}{\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha.c^\alpha r^\alpha}\right\}}. \tag{4.8}$$

Similarly, for large r, we have

$$\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha<c^\alpha r^\alpha]+\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]\le(r^\alpha\log(c^\alpha r^\alpha)+1)e^{-\left\{r^\alpha-\frac{2k}{\alpha}+\frac{2k}{\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right\}}, \tag{4.9}$$

when $\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\lambda r^\alpha\le k\le\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha$. By (4.8) and (4.9), from (4.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU_c)=0]\\&\le(r^\alpha\log(c^\alpha r^\alpha)+1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha(1-c^\alpha)}\prod_{\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot c^\alpha r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\lambda r^\alpha}e^{-\left\{c^\alpha r^\alpha-\frac{2k}{\alpha}+\frac{2k}{\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha.c^\alpha r^\alpha}\right\}}\prod_{\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\lambda r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot r^\alpha}e^{-\left\{r^\alpha-\frac{2k}{\alpha}+\frac{2k}{\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right\}},\end{aligned}$$

for large r. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU_c)=0]\\
&\le-\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\left\{\sum_{\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot c^\alpha r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\lambda r^\alpha}\left\{c^\alpha r^\alpha-\frac{2k}{\alpha}+\frac{2k}{\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha.c^\alpha r^\alpha}\right\}+\sum_{\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\lambda r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot r^\alpha}\left\{r^\alpha-\frac{2k}{\alpha}+\frac{2k}{\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right\}\right\}\\
&\le-\frac{\alpha}{2}\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{2}{\alpha r^\alpha}\left\{\sum_{\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot c^\alpha r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\lambda r^\alpha}\left\{c^\alpha-\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}+\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha.c^\alpha r^\alpha}\right\}+\sum_{\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\lambda r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot r^\alpha}\left\{1-\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}+\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right\}\right\}\\
&=-\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\left\{\int_{c^\alpha}^{\lambda}\left(c^\alpha-x+x\log\frac{x}{c^\alpha}\right)dx+\int_\lambda^1(1-x+x\log x)dx\right\}\\
&=-\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\left\{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{c^{2\alpha}}{4}-\lambda(1-c^\alpha)-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\cdot\log c^\alpha\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting $\lambda=\frac{1-c^\alpha}{-\log c^\alpha}$, we have the upper bound

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU_c)=0]\le-\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{c^{2\alpha}}{4}+\frac{(1-c^\alpha)^2}{2\alpha\log c}\right).$$

Lower bound: We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU_c)=0]=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha<c^\alpha r^\alpha]+\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]\right)\\
&\ge\prod_{k=1}^{\log r}\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]\prod_{\log r}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}c^\alpha r^\alpha}\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha<c^\alpha r^\alpha]\prod_{\frac{\alpha}{2}c^\alpha r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\lambda r^\alpha}\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha<c^\alpha r^\alpha]\prod_{\frac{\alpha}{2}\lambda r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha}\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]\prod_{\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha}^{\infty}\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]
\end{aligned}$$

Since $R_k^\alpha\sim$Gamma$(\frac{2}{\alpha}k)$, for $k\le\frac{\alpha}{2}c^\alpha r^\alpha$, we have $\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha<c^\alpha r^\alpha]\ge\frac{1}{4}$ for large r. Indeed, as $R_k^\alpha=X_1+X_2+\cdots+X_k$ (where $X_1,X_2,\ldots,X_k$ are i.i.d. Gamma$(\frac{2}{\alpha},1)$ random variables), by central limit theorem

$$\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha<c^\alpha r^\alpha]=\mathbf{P}\left[\frac{R_k^\alpha-\frac{2}{\alpha}k}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}k}}<\frac{c^\alpha r^\alpha-\frac{2}{\alpha}k}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}k}}\right]\ge\mathbf{P}\left[\frac{R_k^\alpha-\frac{2}{\alpha}k}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}k}}\le 0\right]\to\frac{1}{2},$$

as $k\to\infty$. Therefore we have

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\prod_{\log r}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}c^\alpha r^\alpha}\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha<c^\alpha r^\alpha]\ge\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{\frac{\alpha}{2}c^\alpha r^\alpha-\log r}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\frac{1}{4}=0 \tag{4.10}$$

Again we have

$$\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha<c^\alpha r^\alpha]=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}k)}\int_{c^\alpha r^\alpha}^{\infty}e^{-x}x^{\frac{2}{\alpha}k-1}dx\ge\frac{e^{-c^\alpha r^\alpha}(c^\alpha r^\alpha)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}k-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}k)}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\prod_{\frac{\alpha}{2}c^\alpha r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\lambda r^\alpha}\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha<c^\alpha r^\alpha]=\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\sum_{\frac{\alpha}{2}c^\alpha r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\lambda r^\alpha}\log\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha<c^\alpha r^\alpha]$$

$$\geq-\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\sum_{\frac{\alpha}{2}c^\alpha r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\lambda r^\alpha}\left\{c^\alpha r^\alpha-\left(\frac{2k}{\alpha}-1\right)\log c^\alpha r^\alpha+\log\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}k+1)\right\}$$

$$\geq-\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\sum_{\frac{\alpha}{2}c^\alpha r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\lambda r^\alpha}\left\{c^\alpha r^\alpha-\frac{2k}{\alpha}\log c^\alpha r^\alpha+\log c^\alpha r^\alpha+\frac{2k}{\alpha}\log(\frac{2k}{\alpha})-\frac{2k}{\alpha}+1\right\}$$

(by Stirling's formula)

$$=-\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\sum_{\frac{\alpha}{2}c^\alpha r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\lambda r^\alpha}\left\{c^\alpha r^\alpha-\frac{2k}{\alpha}+\frac{2k}{\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha.c^\alpha r^\alpha}\right\}$$

$$=-\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{2}{\alpha r^\alpha}\sum_{\frac{\alpha}{2}c^\alpha r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}\lambda r^\alpha}\left\{c^\alpha-\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}+\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha.c^\alpha r^\alpha}\right\}$$

$$=-\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\int_{c^\alpha}^{\lambda}\left\{c^\alpha-x+x\log\frac{x}{c^\alpha}\right\}dx \tag{4.11}$$

From (4.1), we have

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\prod_{\frac{\alpha}{2}\lambda r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha}\mathbf{P}[R_k^\alpha>r^\alpha]\geq-\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\sum_{\frac{\alpha}{2}\lambda r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha}\left\{r^\alpha-\frac{2k}{\alpha}+\frac{2k}{\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right\}$$

$$=-\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{2}{\alpha r^\alpha}\sum_{\frac{\alpha}{2}\lambda r^\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}r^\alpha}\left\{1-\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}+\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\log\frac{2k}{\alpha r^\alpha}\right\}$$

$$=-\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\int_{\lambda}^{1}\left\{1-x+x\log x\right\}dx \tag{4.12}$$

Therfore from (4.3), (4.6), (4.10), (4.11), (4.12), we have

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU_c)=0]$$

$$\geq-\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\left\{\int_{c^\alpha}^{\lambda}\left\{c^\alpha-x+x\log\frac{x}{c^\alpha}\right\}dx+\int_{\lambda}^{1}\left\{1-x+x\log x\right\}dx\right\}$$

$$=-\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\left\{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{c^{2\alpha}}{4}-\lambda(1-c^\alpha)-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\cdot\log c^\alpha\right\}.$$

Putting $\lambda=\frac{1-c^\alpha}{-\log c^\alpha}$, we have the lower bound

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU_c)=0]\geq-\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{c^{2\alpha}}{4}+\frac{(1-c^\alpha)^2}{2\alpha\log c}\right).$$

Hence the result. ■

4.2 Hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ in general domains

In this section we calculate the asymptotics of the hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ for certain scaled open sets. The following result gives the decay constants of the hole probabilities in terms of minimum energies of the complements of the given open sets.

Theorem 4.4. *Let U be an open subset of $D(0,(\frac{2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.6. Then*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU)=0]=R_\emptyset^{(\alpha)}-R_U^{(\alpha)},$$

for all $\alpha>0$.

The following remarks say that we can recover the hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ for circular domains using Theorem 4.4, calculated in Section 4.1.

Remark 4.5. 1. Choose $a>0$ such that $a<(\frac{2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$. Then by Theorem 4.4 we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(r\mathbb{D})=0]=\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}\left(\frac{r}{a}\cdot a\mathbb{D}\right)=0]=\frac{1}{a^{2\alpha}}\cdot\left[R_\emptyset^{(\alpha)}-R_U^{(\alpha)}\right],$$

where $U=D(0,a)$. Therefore by Remark 2.11 we get

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(r\mathbb{D})=0]=-\frac{1}{a^{2\alpha}}\cdot\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\frac{a^{2\alpha}}{4}=-\frac{\alpha}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{4}.$$

Thus we get the result of Theorem 4.1.

2. Let $b>0$ such that $b<(\frac{2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ and $U_c=\{z:0<c<|z|<1\}$. Then by Theorem 4.4 we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU_c)=0]=\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}\left(\frac{r}{b}\cdot bU_c\right)=0]=\frac{1}{b^{2\alpha}}\cdot\left[R_\emptyset^{(\alpha)}-R_{bU_c}^{(\alpha)}\right],$$

where $bU_c=\{z:0<cb<|z|<b\}$, annulus with inner radius cb and outer radius b. Therefore by the Remark 2.11, for $a=cb$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU_c)=0]&=-\frac{1}{b^{2\alpha}}\cdot\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\frac{b^{2\alpha}}{4}-\frac{a^{2\alpha}}{4}-\frac{(b^\alpha-a^\alpha)^2}{2\alpha\log(b/a)}\right)\\&=-\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{c^{2\alpha}}{4}+\frac{(1-c^\alpha)^2}{2\alpha\log(c)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

This recovers the result of Theorem 4.2.

Now we proceed to prove Theorem 4.4.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. Fixed $\alpha > 0$. Since $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ converges in distribution to $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$ as $n \to \infty$, therefore

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU) = 0] = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}(rU) = 0].$$

Again $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$ is a determinantal point process with kernel $\mathbb{K}_n^{(\alpha)}$ with respect to Lebesgue measure. The kernel $\mathbb{K}_n^{(\alpha)}$ can be expressed as

$$\mathbb{K}_n^{(\alpha)}(z,w) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_k(z)\overline{\varphi_k(w)} \quad \text{where } \varphi_k(z) = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}.z^k}{\sqrt{2\pi\Gamma(\frac{2}{\alpha}(k+1))}} e^{-\frac{|z|^\alpha}{2}}.$$

The joint density of the points of $\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}$, with uniform order, is

$$\frac{1}{n!}\det\left(\mathbb{K}_n^{(\alpha)}(z_i,z_j)\right)_{1\le i,j\le n},$$

with respect to the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}(rU) = 0] &= \frac{1}{n!}\int_{(rU)^c}\cdots\int_{(rU)^c} \det(K_n(z_i,z_j))_{1\le i,j\le n} \prod_{i=1}^n dm(z_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{n!}\int_{(rU)^c}\cdots\int_{(rU)^c} \det(\varphi_k(z_i))_{1\le i,k\le n}\det(\overline{\varphi_k(z_i)})_{1\le i,k\le n} \prod_{i=1}^n dm(z_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{n!}\int_{(rU)^c}\cdots\int_{(rU)^c} \sum_{\sigma,\tau\in S_n} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\mathrm{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_{\sigma(i)}(z_i)\overline{\varphi_{\tau(i)}(z_i)} \prod_{i=1}^n dm(z_i) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma\in S_n} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{(rU)^c} \varphi_i(z)\overline{\varphi_{\sigma(i)}(z)} dm(z) \\
&= \det\left(\int_{(rU)^c} \varphi_i(z)\overline{\varphi_j(z)} dm(z)\right)_{1\le i,j\le n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us define

$$M_n(rU) := \left(\int_{(rU)^c} \varphi_i(z)\overline{\varphi_j(z)} dm(z)\right)_{1\le i,j\le n}.$$

$M_n(rU)$ is the integral of the positive definite matrix function $\left(\varphi_i(z)\overline{\varphi_j(z)}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n}$ over the region $(rU)^c$. So, we have that $M_n(rU) \ge M_n(rD) \ge 0$ for all n and $U \subseteq D = D(0,(\frac{2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})$. Since for a positive definite matrix $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{bmatrix}$ we have

$$\det\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{bmatrix} \le \det(A)\det(D).$$

Therefore we have

$$\det(M_n(rU)) \le \det(M_{n-1}(rU)).\int_{(rU)^c} \varphi_n(z)\overline{\varphi_n(z)}dm(z) \le \det(M_{n-1}(rU)).$$

So $\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}(rU)=0] = \det(M_n(rU))$ is decreasing and decreases to $\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU)=0]$. Therefore, for all $n \ge 2r^\alpha$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_{2r^\alpha}^{(\alpha)}(rU)=0] \ge \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}(rU)=0] \ge \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU)=0]. \tag{4.13}$$

Again for $n \ge 2r^\alpha$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}(rU)=0] = \det(M_n(rU)) = \det(M_{2r^\alpha}(rU))\det([M_n(rU)/M_{2r^\alpha}(rU)]), \tag{4.14}$$

where $[M_n(rU)/M_{2r^\alpha}(rU)]$ is the Schur complement of the block $M_{2r^\alpha}(rU)$ of the matrix $M_n(rU)$. Recall, the Schur complement of the block D of the matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \text{ is } [M/D] = A - BD^{-1}C.$$

The inverse of block matrix M is given by

$$M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} [M/D]^{-1} & -A^{-1}B[M/A]^{-1} \\ -D^{-1}C[M/D]^{-1} & [M/A]^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $[M/A] = D - CA^{-1}B$. Since $M_n(rU) \ge M_n(rD) \ge 0$, $(M_n(rD))^{-1} \ge (M_n(rU))^{-1}$ and hence $[M_n(rD)/M_{2r^\alpha}(rD)]^{-1} \ge [M_n(rU)/M_{2r^\alpha}(rU)]^{-1}$. Therefore the Schur complements satisfy the inequality

$$[M_n(rU)/M_{2r^\alpha}(rU)] \ge [M_n(rD)/M_{2r^\alpha}(rD)].$$

Therefore, min-max theorem for eigenvalues we have that the i-th largest eigenvalue of $[M_n(rU)/M_{2r^\alpha}(rU)]$ is greater than i-th largest eigenvalue of $[M_n(rD)/M_{2r^\alpha}(rD)]$. Hence we have

$$\det([M_n(rU)/M_{2r^\alpha}(rU)]) \ge \det([M_n(rD)/M_{2r^\alpha}(rD)]). \tag{4.15}$$

As D is rotationally invariant, we have

$$\int_{(rD)^c} \varphi_i(z)\overline{\varphi_j(z)}dm(z) = 0 \text{ for all } i \ne j.$$

Therefore $M_n(rD) = \mathrm{diag}\left(\int_{(rD)^c} |\varphi_1(z)|^2 dm(z), \ldots, \int_{(rD)^c} |\varphi_n(z)|^2 dm(z)\right)$. From (4.15)

$$\begin{aligned} \det([M_n(rU)/M_{2r^\alpha}(rU)]) &\geq \prod_{k=2r^\alpha+1}^{n} \int_{(rD)^c} |\varphi_k(z)|^2 dm(z) \\ &\geq \prod_{k=2r^\alpha+1}^{\infty} \int_{(rD)^c} |\varphi_k(z)|^2 dm(z). \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Again, for $k > 2r^\alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(rD)^c} |\varphi_k(z)|^2 dm(z) &= \mathbf{P}\left[R_{k+1}^\alpha > \frac{2}{\alpha} r^\alpha\right] = 1 - \mathbf{P}\left[R_{k+1}^\alpha \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} r^\alpha\right] \\ &\geq 1 - \mathbf{P}\left[R_{k+1}^\alpha < \frac{k+1}{\alpha}\right] = 1 - \mathbf{P}\left[\frac{R_{k+1}^\alpha}{k+1} < \frac{1}{\alpha}\right] \\ &\geq 1 - e^{-c.k}, \end{aligned}$$

last inequality from the large deviation (Crammer's bound) for Gamma$(\frac{2}{\alpha}, 1)$ random variable, as $R_k^\alpha \stackrel{d}{=} X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_k$ and $\mathbf{E}X_1 = \frac{2}{\alpha}$ (where $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ are i.i.d. Gamma$(\frac{2}{\alpha}, 1)$ distributed). Therefore, for large r

$$\prod_{k=2r^\alpha+1}^{\infty} \int_{(rD)^c} |\varphi_k(z)|^2 dm(z) \geq e^{-2.\sum_{k=2r^\alpha}^{\infty} e^{-ck}} \geq C > 0.$$

Therefore from (4.16) we get

$$\det([M_n(rU)/M_{2r^\alpha}(rU)]) \geq C.$$

Therefore from (4.14), for large r, we have

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU) = 0] = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}(rU) = 0] \geq C.\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_{2r^\alpha}^{(\alpha)}(rU) = 0]. \tag{4.17}$$

Therefore from (4.13) and (4.17) and Theorem 3.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU) = 0] &= \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_{2r^\alpha}^{(\alpha)}(rU) = 0] \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{4}{n^2} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n^{(\alpha)}(n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \cdot 2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}.U) = 0]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Since U satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.6, from Theorem 3.12 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU) = 0] &= 4.\left(R_\emptyset^{(\alpha)} - R_{2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}.U}^{(\alpha)}\right) \\ &= -4.R_{2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}.U}^{(\alpha)'} = -R_U^{(\alpha)'} = R_\emptyset^{(\alpha)} - R_U^{(\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

third equality follows from Theorem 2.6 and $R_{a.U}^{(\alpha)'} = a^{2\alpha} R_U^{(\alpha)'}$ (see Remark 2.7). ■

The next result gives the hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}$, when $\alpha \geq 1$, in another class of domains.

Theorem 4.6. *Let U be an open subset of $D(0,(\frac{2}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})$ satisfying the condition (3.8). Then for $\alpha \geq 1$,*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU) = 0] = R_\emptyset^{(\alpha)} - R_U^{(\alpha)}.$$

Proof of Theorem 4.6. From (4.18) we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU) = 0] = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{4}{n^2} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_n(n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \cdot 2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}.U) = 0],$$

for all $\alpha > 0$. Since U satisfies the condition (3.8), from Theorem 3.13 we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2\alpha}} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(\alpha)}(rU) = 0] = 4.\left(R_\emptyset^{(\alpha)} - R^{(\alpha)}_{2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}.U}\right).$$

The result follows from Theorem 2.6 and $R_{a.U}^{(\alpha)'} = a^{2\alpha} R_U^{(\alpha)'}$. ■

As a corollary of Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.6 we get the asymptotics of the hole probabilities for infinite Ginibre ensemble $\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(2)}$, proved in [AR16].

Corollary 4.7. *Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying the condition of Theorem 3.6 or (3.8). Then*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r^4} \log \mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}_\infty^{(2)}(rU) = 0] = R_\emptyset^{(2)} - R_U^{(2)}.$$

5 Hole probabilities for finite β-ensembles in the complex plane

In this chapter we calculate the hole probabilities for finite β-ensembles in the complex plane, using potential theory techniques. The β-ensembles are a generalization of joint probability distributions of eigenvalues of random matrix ensembles. These ensembles appear in physics to explain the 2-dimensional Coulomb gas models [HM13].

Consider a family of point processes $\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_{n,\beta}$, for $\beta > 0$ and the function g as in Section 1.1.3, in the complex plane with n points. The joint density of the set of points of $\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_{n,\beta}$ (with uniform order) is defined by

$$\frac{1}{Z^{(g)}_{n,\beta}} \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^\beta e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n g(|z_k|)} \tag{5.1}$$

with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$, where $Z^{(g)}_{n,\beta}$ is the normalizing constant, i.e.,

$$Z^{(g)}_{n,\beta} = \int \dots \int \prod_{i<j} |z_i - z_j|^\beta e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n g(|z_k|)} \prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k).$$

In general β-ensembles, except $\beta = 2$, are not determinantal processes. If $\beta = 2$, then $\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_{n,\beta}$ are the determinantal point processes $\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_n$, defined in Section 1.1.3. In particular, if $\beta = 2$ and $g(|z|) = |z|^2$, then $\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_{n,\beta}$ is the scaled (by $1/\sqrt{n}$) n-th Ginibre ensemble.

These processes in the complex plane and the analogous processes in the real line have been studied extensively, e.g. see [AGZ10], [HM13] and [Blo14]. The large deviation for $\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_{n,\beta}$ has been studied by Bloom [Blo14]. We refer the reader to see Section 2.6 of [AGZ10] for the large deviation results for β-ensembles in the real line. In this chapter we calculate the hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_{n,\beta}$, using potential theory techniques.

In this chapter we use the following notation:

$$R^{(g)}_{U,\beta} = \inf\{R^{(g)}_{\mu,\beta} : \mu \in \mathscr{P}(U^c)\}, \text{ where } R^{(g)}_{\mu,\beta} = \iint \log\frac{1}{|z-w|}d\mu(z)d\mu(w) + \frac{2}{\beta}\int g(|z|)d\mu(z),$$

where U is an open subset of $D(0,T_\beta)$, T_β denotes the solution of $tg'(t) = \beta$. The following remarks are useful for calculating the hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_{n,\beta}$.

Remark 5.1. Replacing g by $\frac{2}{\beta}g$ in Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.6, we have following results.

1. The equilibrium measure for $\mathbb{C}$ with respect to the external field $\frac{g(|z|)}{\beta}$ is supported on $D(0,T_\beta)$ and given by (setting $z = re^{i\theta}$)

$$d\mu_\beta(z) = \frac{1}{2\beta\pi}[g''(r) + \frac{1}{r}g'(r)]dm(z) \text{ when } |z| < T_\beta.$$

   The minimum energy is given by

$$R^{(g)}_{\emptyset,\beta} = \log\frac{1}{T_\beta} + \frac{2}{\beta}g(T_\beta) - \frac{1}{2\beta}\int_0^{T_\beta} r(g'(r))^2 dr.$$

2. Let U be an open subset of $D(0,T_\beta)$. Then from Theorem 2.6, we have

$$R^{(g)}_{U,\beta} = R^{(g)}_{\emptyset,\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta}\left[\int g(|z|)d\nu_2(z) - \int g(|z|)d\mu_2(z)\right],$$

   where ν_2 is the balayage measure on ∂U with respect to the measure $\mu_2 = \mu_\beta\big|_U$.

3. If $g(t) = t^\alpha$. Then $T_\beta = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$, the radius of the support of the equilibrium measure. In particular, $\alpha = 2, \beta = 2$ give $T_2 = 1$, the radius of the support of the equilibrium measure (unit disk) with quadratic external field.

Let U be an open set. Then from (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_{n,\beta}(U) = 0] \\
=&\frac{1}{z^{(g)}_{n,\beta}}\int_{U^c}\cdots\int_{U^c}\prod_{i<j}|z_i - z_j|^\beta e^{-n\sum_{k=1}^n g(|z_k|)}\prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k) \\
=&\frac{1}{z^{(g)}_{n,\beta}}\int_{U^c}\cdots\int_{U^c} e^{-n\cdot\frac{\beta}{2}\left\{\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{i\neq j}\log\frac{1}{|z_i-z_j|} + \frac{2}{\beta}\cdot\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n g(|z_k|)\right\}}\prod_{k=1}^n dm(z_k). \qquad (5.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the previous chapters we have hole probabilities results for $\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_{n,\beta}$, for two classes of open sets.

Theorem 5.2. *Let U be an open subset of $D(0,T_\beta)$ satisfying the condition of Theorem 3.6. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_{n,\beta}(U)=0]=-\frac{\beta}{2}\left[R^{(g)}_{U,\beta}-R^{(g)}_{\emptyset,\beta}\right].$$

Proof. Applying the same calculations as in the proofs of Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 in (5.2), we get the result. ■

The following result gives the hole probabilities for another class of open sets.

Theorem 5.3. *Let U be an open subset of $D(0,T_\beta)$ satisfying the condition (3.8) and g' is bounded on $[0,T_\beta+1]$. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^2}\log\mathbf{P}[\mathscr{X}^{(g)}_{n,\beta}(U)=0]=-\frac{\beta}{2}\left[R^{(g)}_{U,\beta}-R^{(g)}_{\emptyset,\beta}\right].$$

Proof. Following the same calculations as in the proofs of Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.10 in (5.2), we get the result. ■

6 Fluctuations

In this chapter we move away from the hole probabilities. We calculate variances for linear statistics of a family determinantal point processes on the unit disk.

Let $\mathscr{X}_L$ be determinantal point processes in the unit disk with kernels $\mathbb{K}_L$ with respect to the measures μ_L for $L>0$, where

$$\mathbb{K}_L(z,w)=\frac{1}{(1-z\overline{w})^{L+1}} \text{ and } d\mu_L(z)=\frac{L}{\pi}(1-|z|^2)^{L-1}dm(z),$$

for $z,w\in\mathbb{D}$ and m is Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{D}$. The processes $\mathscr{X}_L$, for positive integer L, come from the singular points of matrix valued Gaussian analytic functions [Kri09]. In the case of $L=1$ was proved in [PV05].

Result 6.1 (Krishnapur)**.** *Let G_k, $k\geq 0$, be i.i.d. $L\times L$ matrices, each with i.i.d. standard complex Gaussian entries. Then for each $L\geq 1$, the singular points of $G_0+zG_1+z^2G_2+\cdots$, that is to say, the zeros of $\det(G_0+zG_1+z^2G_2+\cdots)$, form a determinantal point process on the unit disc, with kernel $\mathbb{K}_L$ with respect to the measure μ_L.*

Let φ be a compactly supported function on unit disk. The linear statistics of $\mathscr{X}_L$, for given φ, is

$$\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi)=\sum_{z\in\mathscr{X}_L}\varphi(z).$$

Note that if $\varphi=\mathbf{1}_D$ for some $D\subset\mathbb{D}$, then $\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi)$ is just $\mathscr{X}_L(D)$, the number of points of $\mathscr{X}_L$ that fall in D. Let $\mathbb{V}[X]$ be the variances of the random variable X. In this chapter we calculate $\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(r\mathbb{D})]$ and $\mathbb{V}(\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi))$, when $\varphi(z)=(1-\frac{|z|^2}{r^2})_+^{\frac{p}{2}}$ for $p>0$, as $r\to 1^-$.

The problem is inspired by the work of Buckley [Buc15]. He consider the point processes $\mathscr{X}_{f_L}$ in the unit disk for $L>0$, the zeros set of hyperbolic Gaussian analytic functions

$$f_L(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sqrt{\frac{L(L+1)\cdots(L+k-1)}{k!}}\cdot a_k z^k,$$

where a_k are i.i.d. standard complex Gaussian random variables. He proved the following result.

Result 6.2 (Jeremiah Buckley, [Buc15])**.** *The variances of $\mathscr{X}_{f_L}(r\mathbb{D})$ are given below:*

1. *For each fixed $L > \frac{1}{2}$, as $r \to 1^-$,*
$$\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_{f_L}(r\mathbb{D})] = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right),$$
2. *For $L = \frac{1}{2}$,*
$$\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_{f_L}(r\mathbb{D})] = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right),$$
3. *For each fixed $L < \frac{1}{2}$, as $r \to 1^-$,*
$$\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_{f_L}(r\mathbb{D})] = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{2-2L}}\right),$$

The bounds show that there is a transition in the variances at $L = \frac{1}{2}$. We mentioned that Buckley, Nishry, Peled and Sodin [BNPS16] calculated the hole probabilities for $\mathscr{X}_{f_L}$. However, we are not considering the hole probability problem for $\mathscr{X}_L$. We have following two results for variances.

Theorem 6.3. *For fixed L, as $r \to 1^-$,*
$$\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(r\mathbb{D})] = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right).$$
In other words, for fixed L, as $r \to 1^-$,
$$c_2 \le (1-r)\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(r\mathbb{D})] \le c_1$$
where c_1, c_2 are constants depending on L.

Note that there is no transition in the variances. Let $\varphi_p(z) = (1 - \frac{|z|^2}{r^2})_+^{\frac{p}{2}}$ for $p > 0$ and $0 < r < 1$.

Theorem 6.4. *For fixed $L > 0$ and as $r \to 1^-$, we have*

1. *if $p < 1$, then*
$$\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_p)] = \Theta((1-r)^{-(1-p)}),$$

2. *if* $p = 1$, *then*

$$\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_p)] = \Theta(-\log(1-r)),$$

3. *if* $p > 1$, *then*

$$\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_p)] = \Theta(1).$$

Note that there is a transition in variances at $p = 1$, independent of L. We state two lemmas to prove Theorem 6.3 and Theorem 6.4.

Lemma 6.5. *Let* $\mathscr{X}$ *be a determinantal point process in the complex plane with kernel* $\mathbb{K}$ *with respect to the measure* μ. *If* $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, *then*

$$\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}(D)] = \int_D \int_{D^c} |\mathbb{K}(z,w)|^2 d\mu(z) d\mu(w)$$

where $D^c = \mathbb{C} \backslash D$.

Lemma 6.6. *Let* $\mathscr{X}$ *be a determinantal point process in the complex plane with kernel* $\mathbb{K}(z,w)$ *with respect to the measure* μ *and* φ *be a compactly supported function on* $\mathbb{C}$. *Then* $\mathbf{E}[\mathscr{X}(\varphi)] = \int \varphi(z)\mathbb{K}(z,z)d\mu(z)$ *and*

$$\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}(\varphi)] = \frac{1}{2}\int\int |\varphi(z) - \varphi(w)|^2 |\mathbb{K}(z,w)|^2 d\mu(z) d\mu(w),$$

where $\mathscr{X}(\varphi) = \sum_{z\in\mathscr{X}} \varphi(z)$.

Observe that Lemma 6.5 is a particular case of Lemma 6.6, for $\varphi = \mathbf{1}_D$. The proof of Lemma 6.6 can be found in [Gho15], Proposition 4.1. For completeness we give a proof Lemma 6.6.

Proof of Lemma 6.6. We have

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathscr{X}(\varphi)] = \mathbf{E}(\sum_{z\in\mathscr{X}} \varphi(z)) = \int \varphi(z)\rho_1(z)d\mu(z) = \int \varphi(z)\mathbb{K}(z,z)d\mu(z),$$

where $\rho_1(z)$ is the one-point correlation function. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}(\varphi)] &= \mathbf{E}[(\mathscr{X}(\varphi) - \mathbf{E}[\mathscr{X}(\varphi)])(\overline{\mathscr{X}(\varphi) - \mathbf{E}[\mathscr{X}(\varphi)]})] \\ &= \mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{z\in\mathscr{X}} \varphi(z) \sum_{w\in\mathscr{X}} \overline{\varphi(w)}\right) - \int \varphi(z)\mathbb{K}(z,z)d\mu(z) \int \overline{\varphi(w)}\mathbb{K}(w,w)d\mu(w). \quad (6.1)\end{aligned}$$

Again we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{z\in\mathscr{X}}\varphi(z)\sum_{w\in\mathscr{X}}\overline{\varphi(w)}\right)\\
=&\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{z}\varphi(z)\overline{\varphi(z)}\right)+\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{z\neq w}\varphi(z)\overline{\varphi(w)}\right)\\
=&\int\varphi(z)\overline{\varphi(z)}\rho_1(z)d\mu(z)+\int\int\varphi(z)\overline{\varphi(w)}\rho_2(z,w)d\mu(z)d\mu(w)\\
=&\int\varphi(z)\overline{\varphi(z)}\mathbb{K}(z,z)d\mu(z)+\int\int\varphi(z)\overline{\varphi(w)}\mathbb{K}(z,z)\mathbb{K}(w,w)d\mu(z)d\mu(w)\\
&-\int\int\varphi(z)\overline{\varphi(w)}|\mathbb{K}(z,w)|^2d\mu(z)d\mu(w).
\end{aligned}\tag{6.2}$$

Therefore by (6.2) from (6.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}(\varphi)]\\
=&\int\varphi(z)\overline{\varphi(z)}\mathbb{K}(z,z)d\mu(z)-\int\int\varphi(z)\overline{\varphi(w)}|\mathbb{K}(z,w)|^2d\mu(z)d\mu(w)\\
=&\int\int\varphi(z)\overline{\varphi(z)}|\mathbb{K}(z,w)|^2d\mu(z)d\mu(w)-\int\int\varphi(z)\overline{\varphi(w)}|\mathbb{K}(z,w)|^2d\mu(z)d\mu(w)\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\int\int[\varphi(z)\overline{\varphi(z)}-\varphi(z)\overline{\varphi(w)}-\varphi(w)\overline{\varphi(z)}+\varphi(w)\overline{\varphi(w)}]|\mathbb{K}(z,w)|^2d\mu(z)d\mu(w)\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\int\int|\varphi(z)-\varphi(w)|^2|\mathbb{K}(z,w)|^2d\mu(z)d\mu(w).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the result.

■

Now we proceed to prove Theorem 6.3 and Theorem 6.4.

Proof of Theorem 6.3. **Upper bound:** By Lemma 6.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(r\mathbb{D})] &= \int_{(r\mathbb{D})}\int_{(r\mathbb{D})^c}|\mathbb{K}_L(z,w)|^2d\mu_L(z)d\mu_L(w)\leq\int_{D(0,r)}\mathbb{K}_L(z,z)d\mu_L(z)\\
&= \frac{L}{\pi}\int_{D(0,r)}\frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^2}dm(z)=L\cdot\frac{r^2}{1-r^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have, as $r\to 1^-$

$$(1-r)\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(r\mathbb{D})]\leq\frac{L}{2}.\tag{6.3}$$

Lower bound: From Lemma 6.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(r\mathbb{D})] &= \int_{(r\mathbb{D})}\int_{(r\mathbb{D})^c} |\mathbb{K}_L(z,w)|^2 d\mu_L(z)d\mu_L(w)\\ &= \frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\int_{(r\mathbb{D})}\int_{(r\mathbb{D})^c} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^{L-1}(1-|w|^2)^{L-1}}{|1-z\overline{w}|^{2(L+1)}} dm(z)dm(w).\end{aligned}$$

By writing $z = r_1 e^{i\theta_1}$ and $w = r_2 e^{i\theta_2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(r\mathbb{D})]\\ &= \frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\int_{r_1=0}^{r}\int_{r_2=r}^{1}\int_{\theta_1=0}^{2\pi}\int_{\theta_2=0}^{2\pi} \frac{(1-r_1^2)^{L-1}(1-r_2^2)^{L-1}}{(1+r_1^2r_2^2-2r_1r_2\cos(\theta_1-\theta_2))^{L+1}} r_1r_2dr_1dr_2d\theta_1d\theta_2.\end{aligned}$$

Since the integrand depends on the difference $\theta_1-\theta_2$, hence

$$\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(r\mathbb{D})] = \frac{2L^2}{\pi}\int_{r_1=0}^{r}\int_{r_2=r}^{1}\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{(1-r_1^2)^{L-1}(1-r_2^2)^{L-1}}{(1+r_1^2r_2^2-2r_1r_2\cos\theta)^{L+1}} r_1r_2dr_1dr_2d\theta. \tag{6.4}$$

Let $a = r_1r_2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{1}{(1+r_1^2r_2^2-2r_1r_2\cos\theta)^{L+1}}d\theta &= \int_0^{2\pi}\frac{1}{((1-a)^2+2a(1-\cos\theta))^{L+1}}d\theta\\ &= 2\int_0^{\pi}\frac{1}{((1-a)^2+2a(1-\cos\theta))^{L+1}}d\theta\\ &\geq 2\int_0^{(1-a)}\frac{1}{((1-a)^2+2a(1-\cos\theta))^{L+1}}d\theta. \end{aligned}\tag{6.5}$$

For $\theta \leq 1-a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(1-a)^2+2a(1-\cos\theta) \leq (1-a)^2+2a.\frac{\theta^2}{2} \leq 2(1-a)^2\\ \Rightarrow\quad &\frac{1}{((1-a)^2+2a(1-\cos\theta))^{L+1}} \geq \frac{1}{2^{L+1}(1-a)^{2(L+1)}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\int_0^{(1-a)}\frac{1}{((1-a)^2+2a(1-\cos\theta))^{L+1}}d\theta \geq \frac{1}{2^{L+1}(1-a)^{2L+1}}. \tag{6.6}$$

By (6.5) and (6.6), from (6.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(r\mathbb{D})] &\geq \frac{4L^2}{\pi 2^{L+1}}\int_{r_1=0}^{r}\int_{r_2=r}^{1}\frac{(1-r_1^2)^{L-1}(1-r_2^2)^{L-1}}{(1-r_1r_2)^{2L+1}}r_1r_2dr_1dr_2\\ &\geq \frac{4L^2}{\pi 2^{L+1}}\int_{r_1=0}^{r}\int_{r_2=r}^{1}\frac{(1-r_1^2)^{L-1}(1-r_2^2)^{L-1}}{(1-rr_1^2)^{2L+1}}r_1r_2dr_1dr_2,\end{aligned}$$

last inequality we have use the facts that $r_2 \geq r$ and $r_1 < 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(r\mathbb{D})] &= \frac{L^2}{\pi 2^{L+1}} \int_{r_1=0}^{r^2} \int_{r_2=r^2}^{1} \frac{(1-r_1)^{L-1}(1-r_2)^{L-1}}{(1-rr_1)^{2L+1}} dr_1 dr_2 \\
&\geq \frac{L^2(1-r)^{L-1}}{\pi 2^{L+1}} \int_{r_1=0}^{r^2} \frac{1}{(1-rr_1)^{2L+1}} dr_1 \int_{r_2=r^2}^{1} (1-r_2)^{L-1} dr_2 \text{ (as } r_1 \leq r^2) \\
&= \frac{L^2(1-r)^{L-1}}{\pi 2^{L+1}} . \frac{1}{2Lr} \{(1-r^3)^{-2L} - 1\} . \frac{1}{L} (1-r^2)^L \\
&\geq \frac{1}{r\pi 2^{L+2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{(1-r)^{2L} 3^{2L}} - 1 \right\} (1-r)^{2L-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$(1-r)\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(r\mathbb{D})] \geq \frac{1}{\pi 2^{L+2} 3^{2L}} \text{ as } r \to 1^-. \tag{6.7}$$

Finally, by (6.3) and (6.7) we have

$$c_2 \leq (1-r)\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(r\mathbb{D})] \leq c_1 \text{ as } r \to 1^-,$$

where $c_1 = \frac{L}{2}$ and $c_2 = \frac{1}{2^{L+2}3^{2L}}$. Hence the result. ■

Finally we prove Theorem 6.4.

Proof of Theorem 6.4. **Upper bound:** By (6.2) from (6.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_p)] &\leq \int |\varphi_p(z)|^2 \mathbb{K}_L(z,z) d\mu_L(z) \\
&= \frac{L}{\pi} \int_{r\mathbb{D}} \left(1 - \frac{|z|^2}{r^2}\right)^p \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^2} dm(z) \\
&= \frac{L}{\pi} \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{r^2}\right)^p \frac{1}{(1-t^2)^2} t\, dt\, d\theta \\
&= L \int_0^{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{t}{r^2}\right)^p \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} dt \\
&= \frac{L}{r^{2p}} \int_{1-r^2}^{1} (t - (1-r^2))^p \frac{1}{t^2} dt \quad \text{(by replacing } 1-t \text{ by } t) \\
&\leq \frac{L}{r^{2p}} \int_{1-r^2}^{1} t^{p-2} dt \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{L}{r^{2p}(1-p)} \left(\frac{1}{(1-r^2)^{1-p}} - 1 \right) & if \quad p < 1 \\ -\frac{L}{r^{2p}} \log(1-r^2) & if \quad p = 1 \\ \frac{L}{r^{2p}(p-1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^{p-1}} \right) & if \quad p > 1 \end{cases}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore as $r \to 1^-$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1-r)^{1-p}\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}(\varphi_p)] &\le \tfrac{L}{1-p} \quad \text{when} \quad p<1, \\ -\tfrac{1}{\log(1-r)}\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}(\varphi_p)] &\le L \quad \text{when} \quad p=1, \\ \mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}(\varphi_p)] &\le \tfrac{L}{p-1} \quad \text{when} \quad p>1. \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

Lower bound: By Lemma 6.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_p)] \\ = \;& \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{D}}\int_{\mathbb{D}} (\varphi_p(z)-\varphi_p(w))^2 |\mathbb{K}_L(z,w)|^2 d\mu_L(z) d\mu_L(w) \\ = \;& \frac{1}{2}\frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\int_D\int_D \left(\left(1-\frac{|z|^2}{r^2}\right)^{p/2} - \left(1-\frac{|w|^2}{r^2}\right)^{p/2}\right)^2 |\mathbb{K}_L(z,w)|^2 d\mu_L(z) d\mu_L(w) \\ & + \frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\int_D\int_{D^c} \left(1-\frac{|z|^2}{r^2}\right)^{p} |\mathbb{K}_L(z,w)|^2 d\mu_L(z) d\mu_L(w) \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

where $D^c = \mathbb{D}\setminus D$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_p)] \\ \ge \;& \frac{1}{2}\frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\int_D\int_D \left(\left(1-\frac{|z|^2}{r^2}\right)^{p/2} - \left(1-\frac{|w|^2}{r^2}\right)^{p/2}\right)^2 |\mathbb{K}_L(z,w)|^2 d\mu_L(z) d\mu_L(w) \\ \ge \;& \frac{1}{2^{L+1}}\frac{L^2}{\pi}\int_0^{r^2}\int_0^{r^2} \left\{\left(1-\frac{s}{r^2}\right)^{p/2} - \left(1-\frac{t}{r^2}\right)^{p/2}\right\}^2 \frac{(1-s)^{L-1}(1-t)^{L-1}}{(1-st)^{2L+1}} ds dt \text{ (by (6.6))} \\ \ge \;& \frac{1}{2^{L+1}}\frac{L^2}{\pi}\int_0^{\frac{r^2}{2}}\int_{\frac{2r^2}{3}}^{r^2} \left\{\left(1-\frac{s}{r^2}\right)^{p/2} - \left(1-\frac{t}{r^2}\right)^{p/2}\right\}^2 (1-s)^{L-1}(1-t)^{L-1} ds dt \\ \ge \;& \frac{1}{2^{L+1}}\frac{L^2}{\pi}\left(\frac{1}{2^{p/2}}-\frac{1}{3^{p/2}}\right)^2 \int_0^{\frac{r^2}{2}}\int_{\frac{2r^2}{3}}^{r^2} (1-s)^{L-1}(1-t)^{L-1} ds dt \\ \ge \;& \frac{1}{2^{L+1}}\frac{L^2}{\pi}\left(\frac{1}{2^{p/2}}-\frac{1}{3^{p/2}}\right)^2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{4}}\int_{\frac{2}{3}}^{\frac{3}{4}} (1-s)^{L-1}(1-t)^{L-1} ds dt, \end{aligned}$$

as $r \to 1^-$. Therefore we have

$$\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_p)] \ge C \tag{6.10}$$

where C is some constant depending on L, p. Therefore by (6.8) and (6.10) we have

$$\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_p)] = \Theta(1), \quad \text{as } r \to 1^- \text{ for } p > 1.$$

Now assume that $p < 1$. By (6.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_p)] \\
\geq\ & \frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\int_D\int_{D^c}\left(1-\frac{|z|^2}{r^2}\right)^p |\mathbb{K}(z,w)|^2 d\mu(z)d\mu(w) \\
\geq\ & \frac{L^2}{\pi 2^{L+1}}\int_0^{r^2}\int_{r^2}^1\left(1-\frac{s}{r^2}\right)^p \frac{(1-s)^{L-1}(1-t)^{L-1}}{(1-st)^{2L+1}}dsdt \quad \text{(by (6.6))} \\
\geq\ & \frac{L^2}{\pi 2^{L+1}}(1-r^2)^{L-1}\int_0^{r^2}\int_{r^2}^1\left(1-\frac{s}{r^2}\right)^p \frac{(1-t)^{L-1}}{(1-sr^2)^{2L+1}}dsdt \\
\geq\ & \frac{L^2}{\pi 2^{L+1}L}(1-r^2)^{L-1}(1-r^2)^L\int_0^{r^2}\left(1-\frac{s}{r^2}\right)^p \frac{1}{(1-sr^2)^{2L+1}}ds \\
=\ & \frac{L}{\pi 2^{L+1}}\frac{(1-r^2)^{2L-1}}{r^{4p+1}}\int_{1-r^4}^1 \frac{(u-(1-r^4))^p}{u^{2L+1}}du \text{ (putting, } 1-sr^2=u)
\end{aligned}$$

Choose $r < 1$ such that $r^4 > \frac{1}{2}$ which implies that $2(1-r^4) < 1$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_p)] &\geq \frac{L}{\pi 2^{L+1}}(1-r^2)^{2L-1}\int_{2(1-r^4)}^1 \frac{(u-(1-r^4))^p}{u^{2L+1}}du \\
&\geq \frac{L}{\pi 2^{L+1}}(1-r^2)^{2L-1}(1-r^4)^p\int_{2(1-r^4)}^1 \frac{1}{u^{2L+1}}du \\
&\geq \frac{L}{\pi 2^{L+1}}(1-r)^{2L-1}(1-r)^p\frac{1}{2L}[(2(1-r^4))^{-2L}-1] \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\pi 2^{L+2}}(1-r)^{2L-1+p}[(2(1-r))^{-2L}-1]
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$(1-r)^{1-p}\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_p)] \geq C_1 \tag{6.11}$$

as $r \to 1^-$, where C_1 is a positive constant which depends on L. Hence by (6.8) and (6.11) we have

$$\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_p)] = \Theta\left(\frac{1}{(1-r)^{1-p}}\right)$$

as $r \to 1^-$, for $p < 1$.

Finally assume that $p = 1$. From (6.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_p)] &\geq \frac{1}{2}\frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\int_D\int_D\left(\left(1-\frac{|z|^2}{r^2}\right)^{1/2}-\left(1-\frac{|w|^2}{r^2}\right)^{1/2}\right)^2 |\mathbb{K}(z,w)|^2 d\mu(z)d\mu(w) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2^{L+1}}\frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\int_0^{r^2}\int_0^{r^2}\left\{\sqrt{\left(1-\frac{s}{r^2}\right)}-\sqrt{\left(1-\frac{t}{r^2}\right)}\right\}^2 \frac{(1-s)^{L-1}(1-t)^{L-1}}{(1-st)^{2L+1}}dsdt,
\end{aligned}$$

last inequality follows from (6.6). Therefore

$$\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_p)] \geq \frac{1}{2^{L+1}}\frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\int_\delta^{r^2}\int_{s^3}^{s^2/r^2}\left\{\sqrt{\left(1-\frac{s}{r^2}\right)}-\sqrt{\left(1-\frac{t}{r^2}\right)}\right\}^2\frac{(1-s)^{L-1}(1-t)^{L-1}}{(1-st)^{2L+1}}dsdt,$$

where $\delta > 0$ and fixed. Since $s^3 \leq t \leq \frac{s^2}{r^2} < s$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_p)] &\geq \frac{1}{2^{L+1}}\frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\int_\delta^{r^2}\int_{s^3}^{s^2/r^2}\left\{\sqrt{\left(1+\frac{s}{r^2}\right)}-1\right\}^2\left(1-\frac{s}{r^2}\right)\frac{(1-s)^{L-1}(1-s^2/r^2)^{L-1}}{(1-s^4)^{2L+1}}ds \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2^{L+1}4^{2L+1}}\frac{L^2}{\pi^2}\left\{\sqrt{\left(1+\frac{\delta}{r^2}\right)}-1\right\}^2\int_\delta^{r^2}\left(1-\frac{s}{r^2}\right)\frac{s^2(1-r^2s)}{(1-s)^3}ds. \\
&\geq C.\int_\delta^{r^2}\left(1-\frac{s}{r^2}\right)\frac{1}{(1-s)^2}ds \quad (\text{as } 1-r^2s > 1-s) \\
&\geq C.\int_{1-r^2}^{1-\delta}(u-(1-r^2))\frac{1}{u^2}du \\
&= C.[\log(1-\delta)-\log(1-r^2)+\frac{1-r^2}{1-\delta}-1]
\end{aligned}$$

where C is constant depending on L and $\delta > 0$ (fixed). Therefore for $p = 1$, we have

$$\frac{\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_p)]}{-\log(1-r)} \geq C \quad \text{as } r \to 1^-. \tag{6.12}$$

Hence by (6.8) and (6.12) we have

$$\mathbb{V}[\mathscr{X}_L(\varphi_1)] = \Theta(-\log(1-r)) \quad \text{as } r \to 1^-.$$

Hence the result. ■

Bibliography

[AB12] Gernot Akemann and Zdzislaw Burda, *Universal microscopic correlation functions for products of independent Ginibre matrices*, J. Phys. A **45** (2012), no. 46, 465201, 18. MR 2993423

[AGZ10] Greg W. Anderson, Alice Guionnet, and Ofer Zeitouni, *An introduction to random matrices*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 118, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010. MR 2760897 (2011m:60016)

[AKRRRS16] Kartick Adhikari, Nanda Kishore Reddy, Tulasi Ram Reddy, and Koushik Saha, *Determinantal point processes in the plane from products of random matrices*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. **52** (2016), no. 1, 16–46. MR 3449292

[AOC12] Yacin Ameur and Joaquim Ortega-Cerdà, *Beurling–landau densities of weighted fekete sets and correlation kernel estimates*, Journal of Functional Analysis **263** (2012), no. 7, 1825–1861.

[AR16] Kartick Adhikari and Nanda Kishore Reddy, *Hole probabilities for finite and infinite ginibre ensembles*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.08363 (2016).

[AS13] Gernot Akemann and Eugene Strahov, *Hole probabilities and overcrowding estimates for products of complex Gaussian matrices*, J. Stat. Phys. **151** (2013), no. 6, 987–1003. MR 3063493

[Blo14] Thomas Bloom, *Large deviation for outlying coordinates in β ensembles*, J. Approx. Theory **180** (2014), 1–20. MR 3164043

[BLW08] Len Bos, Norm Levenberg, and Shayne Waldron, *On the spacing of Fekete points for a sphere, ball or simplex*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **19** (2008), no. 2, 163–176. MR 2489304 (2010c:52014)

[BNPS16] Jeremiah Buckley, Alon Nishry, Ron Peled, and Mikhail Sodin, *Hole probability for zeroes of gaussian taylor series with finite radii of convergence*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.03076 (2016).

[Buc15] Jeremiah Buckley, *Fluctuations in the zero set of the hyperbolic Gaussian analytic function*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2015), no. 6, 1666–1687. MR 3340370

[DVJ08] D. J. Daley and D. Vere-Jones, *An introduction to the theory of point processes. Vol. II*, second ed., Probability and its Applications (New York), Springer, New York, 2008, General theory and structure. MR 2371524 (2009b:60150)

[Dys62] Freeman J. Dyson, *Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems. I*, J. Mathematical Phys. **3** (1962), 140–156. MR 0143556 (26 #1111)

[Gho15] Subhroshekhar Ghosh, *Determinantal processes and completeness of random exponentials: the critical case*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **163** (2015), no. 3-4, 643–665. MR 3418752

[Gin65] Jean Ginibre, *Statistical ensembles of complex, quaternion, and real matrices*, J. Mathematical Phys. **6** (1965), 440–449. MR 0173726 (30 #3936)

[HKPV09] J. Ben Hough, Manjunath Krishnapur, Yuval Peres, and Bálint Virág, *Zeros of Gaussian analytic functions and determinantal point processes*, University Lecture Series, vol. 51, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009. MR 2552864 (2011f:60090)

[HM13] H*å*kan Hedenmalm and Nikolai Makarov, *Coulomb gas ensembles and Laplacian growth*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **106** (2013), no. 4, 859–907. MR 3056295

[HMS11] H. J. Haubold, A. M. Mathai, and R. K. Saxena, *Mittag-Leffler functions and their applications*, J. Appl. Math. (2011), Art. ID 298628, 51. MR 2800586

[Kos92] Eric Kostlan, *On the spectra of Gaussian matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **162/164** (1992), 385–388, Directions in matrix theory (Auburn, AL, 1990). MR 1148410 (93c:62090)

[Kri06] Manjunath Krishnapur, *Zeros of random analytic functions*, arXiv preprint math/0607504 (2006).

[Kri09] ———, *From random matrices to random analytic functions*, Ann. Probab. **37** (2009), no. 1, 314–346. MR 2489167

[KT12] Alex Kulesza and Ben Taskar, *Determinantal point processes for machine learning*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1207.6083 (2012).

[Lyo03] Russell Lyons, *Determinantal probability measures*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2003), no. 98, 167–212. MR 2031202

[Mac75] Odile Macchi, *The coincidence approach to stochastic point processes*, Advances in Appl. Probability **7** (1975), 83–122. MR 0380979 (52 #1876)

[Nis10] Alon Nishry, *Asymptotics of the hole probability for zeros of random entire functions*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2010), no. 15, 2925–2946. MR 2673715 (2011f:60099)

[Nis11] ———, *The hole probability for Gaussian entire functions*, Israel J. Math. **186** (2011), 197–220. MR 2852320 (2012k:60104)

[Nis12] ———, *Hole probability for entire functions represented by Gaussian Taylor series*, J. Anal. Math. **118** (2012), no. 2, 493–507. MR 3000689

[PH98] Dénes Petz and Fumio Hiai, *Logarithmic energy as an entropy functional*, Advances in differential equations and mathematical physics (Atlanta, GA, 1997), Contemp. Math., vol. 217, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 205–221. MR 1606719 (99b:46101)

[PV05] Yuval Peres and Bálint Virág, *Zeros of the i.i.d. Gaussian power series: a conformally invariant determinantal process*, Acta Math. **194** (2005), no. 1, 1–35. MR 2231337

[Ran95] Thomas Ransford, *Potential theory in the complex plane*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 28, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR 1334766 (96e:31001)

[Sos00] A. Soshnikov, *Determinantal random point fields*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **55** (2000), no. 5(335), 107–160. MR 1799012

[ST97] Edward B. Saff and Vilmos Totik, *Logarithmic potentials with external fields*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 316, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, Appendix B by Thomas Bloom. MR 1485778 (99h:31001)

[ST05] Mikhail Sodin and Boris Tsirelson, *Random complex zeroes. III. Decay of the hole probability*, Israel J. Math. **147** (2005), 371–379. MR 2166369 (2007a:60028)